

**NOT FOR PUBLICATION WITHOUT THE  
APPROVAL OF THE APPELLATE DIVISION**

This opinion shall not "constitute precedent or be binding upon any court." Although it is posted on the internet, this opinion is binding only on the parties in the case and its use in other cases is limited. R. 1:36-3.

**SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY  
APPELLATE DIVISION  
DOCKET NO. A-2140-21**

**IN THE MATTER OF ERICCA  
GREENE, DEPARTMENT OF  
THE TREASURY.**

---

Submitted March 6, 2023 – Decided March 13, 2023

Before Judges Mitterhoff and Fisher.

On appeal from the New Jersey Civil Service Commission, Docket No. 2022-858.

Ericca Greene, appellant pro se.

Matthew J. Platkin, Attorney General, attorney for respondent State of New Jersey, Department of the Treasury (Donna Arons, Assistant Attorney General, of counsel; Steven C. Hordis, Deputy Attorney General, on the brief).

Matthew J. Platkin, Attorney General, attorney for respondent New Jersey Civil Service Commission (Donna Arons, Assistant Attorney General, of counsel; Nicholas V. Klimowicz, Deputy Attorney General, on the brief).

PER CURIAM

Appellant Ericca Greene seeks our review of a final agency decision of the Civil Service Commission. The record reveals that Greene was hired by the Department of Treasury as an auditor in the Division of Taxation's field audit division in 1993. Twenty years later, Greene was appointed as a "Conferee 1, Taxation," a position she still holds. In 2021, Greene sought a review and reclassification of her position, believing it more akin to a Dispute Resolution Specialist 2. The Commission's Division of Agency Services conducted a review and determined that Greene's current duties and responsibilities were commensurate with her title.

Greene appealed that determination to the Commission, which issued a final agency decision, finding Greene was properly classified. At the heart of the final agency decision is the fact that Dispute Resolution Specialists act as arbitrators, conciliators, and mediators, a role not encompassed by Greene's current position.

Greene appeals, challenging the final agency decision by, among other things, comparing her roles with those of other employees. We find insufficient merit in Greene's arguments to warrant discussion in a written opinion. R. 2:11-3(e)(1)(E).

We add only that appellate review is limited in these matters. Resolution of the dispute, which involves an understanding of the nature of Greene's position and its proper classification, fell well within the Commission's expertise and superior knowledge in this field. In re Herrmann, 192 N.J. 19, 28 (2007). We also recognize that the Commission's decision was based on substantial credible evidence. In re Stallworth, 208 N.J. 182, 194 (2011). These circumstances trigger our obligation to give the Commission's decision great deference, Hargrove v. Sleepy's, LLC, 220 N.J. 289, 301-02 (2015).

Having closely examined the record, we are satisfied the Commission's decision is not arbitrary, capricious, or unreasonable. Karins v. City of Atlantic City, 152 N.J. 532, 540 (1998). We, therefore, decline the invitation to second-guess it.

Affirmed.

I hereby certify that the foregoing  
is a true copy of the original on  
file in my office.



CLERK OF THE APPELLATE DIVISION