## NOT FOR PUBLICATION WITHOUT THE APPROVAL OF THE APPELLATE DIVISION

This opinion shall not "constitute precedent or be binding upon any court." Although it is posted on the internet, this opinion is binding only on the parties in the case and its use in other cases is limited. R. 1:36-3.

### SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY APPELLATE DIVISION DOCKET NO. A-0191-22

ESTATE OF NATALYA
METELITSA by her
Administrator and
Administrator Ad
Prosequendum, LEONARD
METELITSA, and LEONARD
METELITSA, individually,

Plaintiffs-Respondents,

V.

PENN MEDICINE PRINCETON HEALTH,

Defendant-Appellant/Cross-Respondent,

and

JOSEPH FRESTA, R.N.,

Defendant-Respondent/ Cross-Appellant,

and

PETER YI, M.D., JOSEPH PEPEK, M.D.,

# PRINCETON RADIOLOGY, and THERESA AQUINO, M.D.,

### Defendants-Respondents.

\_\_\_\_\_

Submitted February 8, 2023 – Decided April 17, 2023

Before Judges Accurso, Firko and Natali.

On appeal from interlocutory orders of the Superior Court of New Jersey, Law Division, Middlesex County, Docket No. L-0482-21.

Farkas & Donohue, LLC, attorneys for appellant/cross-respondent (Beth A. Hardy, of counsel and on the briefs).

Ruprecht Hart Ricciardulli & Sherman, LLP, attorneys for respondent/cross-appellant (Renee J. Sherman, of counsel and on the briefs; Michael J. Di Leo, on the briefs).

Blume, Forte, Fried, Zerres & Molinari, PC, attorneys for respondent Estate of Natalya Metelitsa by her Administrator and Administrator Ad Prosequendum, Leonard Metelitsa and Leonard Metelitsa, individually (Michael B. Zerres, of counsel and on the briefs; Richard T. Madurski, on the briefs).

2

#### PER CURIAM

A-0191-22

This matter having been amicably adjusted and the parties having stipulated to the dismissal of this appeal and cross-appeal, it is hereby ordered that the appeal and cross-appeal are dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

3

CLERK OF THE APPELIATE DIVISION