



**SCARINCI | HOLLENBECK**<sub>LLC</sub>  
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

150 CLOVE ROAD, 9TH FLOOR  
LITTLE FALLS, NJ 07424  
201.896.4100 • [sh-law.com](http://sh-law.com)

**ROBERT E. LEVY** | Partner | Certified Civil and Criminal Trial Attorney  
[rlevy@sh-law.com](mailto:rlevy@sh-law.com)  
Phone: 201-896-7163

January 3, 2025

**VIA ECF and E-MAIL:** [kyle.caccavale@njcourts.gov](mailto:kyle.caccavale@njcourts.gov)

Hon. Peter E. Warshaw, Jr., P.J. Cr.  
Superior Court of New Jersey, Criminal Division  
Mercer County Criminal Courthouse  
400 South Warren Street, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor  
Trenton, NJ 08650

**Re: State of New Jersey v. George Norcross**  
**Docket No. MER-24-001988**  
**Indictment No. 24-06-00111-S**

Dear Judge Warshaw:

I am in receipt of the December 31, 2024 correspondence to the Court from the State taking no position with respect to the pending motion for my clients to appear as Amici. I note the State's objection to the Amici participation in oral argument alleging oral argument to be "unwieldy" and "not needed" to assist in the resolution of the issues relating to the Motion to Dismiss the Indictment.

On behalf of my clients, I disagree. The pending Motion to Dismiss presents issues of statewide significance. Clearly, the State will be arguing in opposition to my clients' position and therefore, the Amici should be provided the opportunity to be heard. Their organizations represent thousands of members. And the issues raised by Amici relate to the relationship between indictment, its vagueness, its consequential effect upon public policy and upon the members of the Amici organizations. Their points of view are material and participation in oral argument on behalf of the membership is far from "unwieldy". To the contrary, their arguments evidence the adverse results of the Indictment's deficiencies.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ *Robert E. Levy*

ROBERT E. LEVY  
For the Firm

REL/mf

cc: All Counsel – *via ECF and E-Mail*