

SUPREME COURT OF NEW JERSEY

It is ORDERED that, effective September 1, 2020 and until further order, the following Superior Court judges are designated as “**Wiretap Judges**” to receive applications and issue orders authorizing interceptions of wire, electronic, or oral communications pursuant to the New Jersey Wiretapping and Electronic Surveillance Act, *N.J.S.A. 2A:156A-1 et seq.* (hereinafter cited as “the Act”); and

It is FURTHER ORDERED that the designated Wiretap Judges are assigned to supervise the Informal Communications Data Warrant (“CDW”) Vicinages as indicated:

<u>Designated Wiretap Judges</u>	<u>Supervisory Vicinages</u>
Judge Bernard E. DeLury, Jr. Judge Patricia M. Wild	Atlantic, Cape May Counties
Judge Bonnie J. Mizdol Judge Margaret M. Foti Judge Carol V. Novey Catuogno Judge Frances A. McGrogan Judge Robert M. Vinci	Bergen County
Judge Jeanne T. Covert	Burlington County
Judge Edward J. McBride, Jr. Judge Kathleen M. Delaney	Camden County
Judge Benjamin C. Telsey Judge Linda L. Lawhun	Cumberland, Gloucester, Salem Counties
Judge Ronald D. Wigler Judge Martin G. Cronin Judge Christopher S. Romanyshyn	Essex County
Judge Mitzy Galis-Menendez Judge John A. Young, Jr.	Hudson County
Judge Mary C. Jacobson Judge Peter E. Warshaw Judge J. Adam Hughes	Mercer County
Judge Michael A. Toto	Middlesex County
Judge Lisa P. Thornton Judge David F. Bauman	Monmouth County
Judge Stuart A. Minkowitz Judge Stephen J. Taylor	Morris, Sussex Counties

Designated Wiretap Judges

Judge Wendel E. Daniels

Judge Marilyn C. Clark
Judge Miguel A. de la Carrera

Judge Thomas C. Miller
Judge Peter J. Tober
Judge Angela Borkowski

Judge Regina Caufield

Supervisory Vicinages

Ocean County

Passaic County

Somerset, Hunterdon, Warren Counties

Union County

It is FURTHER ORDERED that the judges so designated by this Order as Wiretap Judges shall each have statewide jurisdiction for purposes of the Act; thus, any applications made under the Act may be made to any such designated judge at the election of the applicant; and

It is FURTHER ORDERED that, in the absence or unavailability of the designated judge who authorized a particular interception, the applicant may make any further applications to or take any further action appropriate under the Act before any other judge designated by the Order; provided, however, that orders to seal tapes, wires, and all other recordings may be entered by (1) any other designated Wiretap Judge, or (2) the designated Communications Data Warrant Judge in the county or vicinage in which the investigation occurred, or (3) the Assignment Judge or Acting Assignment Judge for the county or vicinage in which the judge who authorized the interception sits; and

It is FURTHER ORDERED that this Order shall supersede any and all prior orders, including the consolidated Wiretap Judge order dated February 26, 2018 and all intervening orders designating judges to receive applications for, and to enter, orders authorizing interceptions of wire, electronic, or oral communications under the Act, and any and all prior orders designating judges authorized to seal tapes, wires and other recordings.



Chief Justice

Dated: August 14, 2020