

FILED

JULY 28 , 2021

HON. BRUCE J. KAPLAN, J.S.C.

Eileen Oaks Muskett, Esquire
Attorney ID No. 020731994
FOX ROTHSCHILD LLP
Midtown Building, Suite 400
1301 Atlantic Avenue
Atlantic City, NJ 08401
Tel: (609) 348-4515
Fax: (609) 348-6834
emuskett@foxrothschild.com

Attorneys for Defendants Merck & Co., Inc., and Merck Sharp & Dome Corp.

IN RE ZOSTAVAX LITIGATION

SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY
LAW DIVISION – MIDDLESEX COUNTY

MCL NO.: 629

MASTER DOCKET NO.: 4999-18

**ORDER DISMISSING CASES WITH
PREJUDICE**

THIS MATTER having come before the Court upon Defendants, Merck & Co., Inc., and Merck Sharp & Dohme Corp.'s, "10 Day Order" submissions, pursuant to Case Management Order 17, ¶ 2 ("CMO 17"), seeking to convert cases dismissed without prejudice via several Orders dated February 13, 2020, to dismissals with prejudice, and the Court having considered the moving papers, Plaintiffs' oppositions, for the reasons stated in the attached Statement of Reasons, and for good cause having been shown,

IT IS ON 28th day of July 2021, hereby;

ORDERED that the cases in the attached schedule be and are hereby **DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE** in accordance with R. 4:23-5(a)(2), for failure to comply with Court Orders requiring production of Proof of Use, and it is further

ORDERED that Plaintiff Hazel Harden, against whom a 10-day Order of dismissal was filed under unbifurcated Docket No. MID-L-4575-18, shall be disposed under that docket, and dismissed with prejudice under her individual Docket No. MID-L-5426-20; and it is further

ORDERED that Plaintiff Ronald Malecki, against whom a 10-day Order of dismissal was filed under unbifurcated Docket No. MID-L-4575-18, shall be disposed under that docket, and dismissed with prejudice under his individual Docket No. MID-L-5587-20; and it is further

ORDERED that Plaintiff Anna Jackson, against whom a 10-day Order of dismissal was filed under unbifurcated Docket No. MID-L-4575-18, shall be disposed under that docket, and dismissed with prejudice under her individual Docket No. MID-L-5412-20; and it is further

ORDERED that this Order shall be deemed served upon its filing in the Master Docket (MID-L-4999-18). Movant shall serve all parties not electronically served within seven (7) days of the date of this Order in accordance with R. 1:5-1(a); and it is further

ORDERED that Plaintiffs' counsel shall serve Plaintiffs with a copy of this Order by ordinary mail, and certified mail, return receipt requested, within seven (10) days of the date of this Order.

/s/ Bruce J. Kaplan

HON. BRUCE J. KAPLAN, J.S.C.

OPPOSED

SEE ATTACHED STATEMENT OF REASONS

STATEMENT OF REASONS

Defendants, Merck & Co., Inc., and Merck Sharp & Dome, Corp., (hereinafter referenced as “Defendant”) move this Court to convert Plaintiffs’ cases currently dismissed without prejudice to dismissals with prejudice, in accordance with R. 4:23-5(a)(2).¹ On July 8, 2021, Plaintiffs filed a general “omnibus” opposition, arguing that the cases listed on Defendant’s 10 Day Orders should not be dismissed with prejudice given that dismissal with prejudice is the ultimate sanction, that cases should be won or lost on their merits, and that Defendant is not prejudiced by Plaintiffs’ inability to produce threshold discovery. The Court notes that it has read the moving papers, and the papers in opposition; briefing is complete, and this matter is ripe for decision. For the reasons stated herein, the Plaintiffs’ cases attached in the schedule below are **DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE**.

The circumstances surrounding this matter are largely undisputed. The Plaintiffs subject to this Order had their cases dismissed without prejudice on February 13, 2020 after failing to provide Defendant with Proof of Use on several occasions. It is undisputed that, prior to this Court entering the February 13, 2020 Orders dismissing these cases without prejudice, Plaintiffs sought and received numerous extensions from this Court to provide Defendant with sufficient Proof of Use.²

Ultimately, on February 13, 2020, the Honorable James F. Hyland, J.S.C. (Ret.) found that “plaintiffs failed to [abide by] multiple court orders concerning appropriate proof of use documentation, documentation that they should have obtained ... prior to filing the case ... The Court proceeded to give Plaintiffs more time to submit the proof of product use documentation, but Plaintiffs failed to submit anything,” and thereafter dismissed their claims without prejudice. See 2/13/20 Tr. 42:12-43:21. Moreover, the Honorable James F. Hyland, J.S.C., (Ret.) held that, at that time, a dismissal with prejudice was too drastic of a sanction. 2/13/20 Tr. 43:10-19.

¹ Pursuant to Case Management Order No. 17, ¶ 2, (“CMO 17”), this Court permitted Defendant to file its request to convert dismissals without prejudice into dismissals with prejudice under the “10 Day Rule,” giving Plaintiff’s 10 days to object to Defendant’s form of Order or the substance therein. Plaintiffs’ objection was received on July 8, 2021.

² The Court will not rehash the history of this Multi-County Litigation (“MCL”). The Court has put out a large volume of decisions recently discussing the backdrop surrounding the February 13, 2020 dismissals. It is undisputed that this Court’s August 23, 2019 initial Proof of Use Order required Plaintiffs whose cases were already filed at that time to provide Defendant with Proof of Use within 35 days of August 23, 2019. Plaintiffs were given several extensions and leniency from this Court to provide sufficient Proof of Use prior to having their cases dismissed without prejudice.

After this Court entered the February 13, 2020 Orders dismissing Plaintiffs' cases without prejudice for failing to abide with their Proof of Use obligations, the Court entered CMO 8 on February 20, 2020. CMO 8 explained that the Court needed corroborative evidence linking Plaintiff's claims of being inoculated with Zostavax.³ Thereafter, Plaintiffs' counsel filed a "Global" Motion for Reconsideration, seeking to have this Court reconsider and expand its definition of what constitutes sufficient Proof of Use. Unfortunately, the COVID-19 pandemic devastated the nation shortly after the filing of Plaintiffs' Motion for Reconsideration, at a time where MCL filings were not electronic.⁴ Ultimately, the Court entered its Order denying Plaintiffs' Motion for Reconsideration on April 15, 2021, finding that the current definition in place as of February 20, 2020 was sufficient and expansive enough to encompass several different types of documentary evidence that the Court will accept as sufficient Proof of Use. See Order dated 4/15/21.

This brings the Court to present-day, July 2021. Plaintiffs' cases have now been dismissed without prejudice for failure to provide sufficient Proof of Use for sixteen (16) months. To date, sufficient Proof of Use has *still* not been provided. This is despite several initial extensions to provide Proof of Use, dismissals without prejudice, two (2) decisions regarding what constitutes sufficient Proof of Use, and, again, for emphasis, the benefit of over sixteen (16) months. For Plaintiffs to submit an opposition arguing that these cases "should [not] be subject to the ultimate sanction of dismissal with prejudice," simply because their non-compliance is "not purposeful," is without merit to warrant a discussion at this point in time. See Plt.'s Opp., pg. 1.⁵ This is especially true since Plaintiffs do not explain to the Court what steps have been taken, or are being taken, to obtain sufficient Proof of Use for the affected Plaintiffs.

³ CMO 8, ¶ 4, specifically states that, "Proof of use is clarified to include definitive proof the plaintiff received the Zostavax vaccination, such as a medical record confirming the vaccination was provided on the date of that record or a vaccine administration record."

⁴ MCL transitioned to an electronic filing system in March of 2020. Given Plaintiffs' Global Motion for Reconsideration, as well as other paper filings which thereafter were "backlogged" onto the Court's electronic filing system, there were approximately 950 outstanding Motions for the Court to rule on as of February 2021. To date, all said Motions have been appropriately dealt with.

⁵ Plaintiffs' argument that Defendant has suffered little prejudice as a result of their inability to provide sufficient Proof of Use is meritless. Defendant certainly has the right to defend itself against the claims asserted against it in this MCL, and without being able to link Plaintiffs' claims to their inoculation of Zostavax, Defendant cannot adequately defend its interests.

While Plaintiffs' positions remain unchanged since the February 13, 2020 Orders dismissing their cases without prejudice, the Court's position certainly has changed. As noted above, the Honorable James F. Hyland, J.S.C., (Ret.) found that dismissals with prejudice were too drastic of a sanction on February 13, 2020. Now, the Court finds itself dealing with the exact same set of circumstances that led to Plaintiffs' dismissals without prejudice, only sixteen (16) months later. At this time, it is illogical to conclude that dismissals with prejudice are unwarranted under the circumstances.

Pursuant to R. 4:23-5(a)(2), if "an order of dismissal ... without prejudice has been entered pursuant to paragraph (a)(1) of this rule and not thereafter vacated, the party entitled to the discovery may, after the expiration of 60 days from the date of the order, move on notice for an order of dismissal with prejudice." It is well-settled that "dismissal with prejudice is the ultimate sanction, [and that] it will normally be ordered only when no lesser sanction will suffice to erase the prejudice suffered by the non-delinquent party," Zaccardi v. Becker, 88 N.J. 245, 253 (1982) (internal citations omitted), "or when the litigant rather than the attorney was at fault." Ibid. (citing Schlosser v. Kragen, 111 N.J. Super. 111 N.J. 337, 341 (1970)). Our Supreme Court has also held that, "[t]he dismissal of a party's cause of action, with prejudice, is drastic and is generally not to be invoked except in those cases where the order for discovery goes to the very foundation of the cause of action ... or where refusal to comply is deliberate and contumacious." Schlosser, 111 N.J. at 341 (citing Tsibikas v. Morrof, 5 N.J. Super. 306 (App. Div. 1949)).

As demonstrated by the Court's foregoing discussion, not only is Product Usage threshold discovery that goes to the very foundation of this MCL – linking Plaintiffs' claims to their alleged inoculation of Zostavax, which Plaintiffs should be in possession of prior to filing their case, supra, it is also obvious to the Court at this point that Plaintiffs themselves have some fault in not forwarding their documentary evidence to plaintiffs' counsel. Moreover, the unfortunate reality is, at this juncture, there is no "lesser sanction" that can suffice to remedy the violations of this Court's multiple Proof of Use Orders, and there has certainly been no showing made as to why sufficient Proof of Use has not been obtained and provided within the sixteen (16) months that these Plaintiffs' cases have been dismissed without prejudice.

In light of the foregoing, Plaintiffs' cases are hereby **DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE**.

SCHEDULE OF PLAINTIFFS DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE

Plaintiff	Docket No.	Previous Docket No.
Adair, Gerry	MID-L-003014-18	
Adam, Judith	MID-L-000196-19	
Adams, Jean	MID-L-000870-19	
Aguilar, Erlinda	MID-L-003461-19	
Alecomendas, Colleen	MID-L-004975-18	MID-L-001308-18
Anderson, Van	MID-L-002105-19	
Arrowood, Penny	MID-L-000838-19	
Augello, John	MID-L-000895-18	
Barham, Carolyn	MID-L-000944-19	
Barnes, Benny	MID-L-005300-20	MID-L-003595-18
Beatty, Helen (deceased) Beatty, Jane (admin)	MID-L-002055-19	
Bengson, Ken	MID-L-004575-19	
Bentley, Sheilla	MID-L-002666-19	
Berlan, Michael	MID-L-000196-19	
Bey, Crystal	MID-L-008119-18	
Bighetty, Eliza	MID-L-000089-19	
Birnstiel, Shannon	MID-L-000922-19	
Black, Mary Helen	MID-L-002869-19	
Black, Walter	MID-L-004234-19	
Blankenship, Ewayne	MID-L-008583-18	
Blevins, Nelda	MID-L-008110-18	
Borkowski, Duane	MID-L-004975-18	
Bosco, Marianne	MID-L-000896-19	
Bourland, Ruth	MID-L-008565-18	
Bowers, Pate	MID-L-004975-18	
Boynon, Marjorie	MID-L-000274-18	
Brandenburg, Alan	MID-L-009987-18	MID-L-009999-18
Bredarhol, Marcie	MID-L-001002-19	
Brendle, June	MID-L-000916-19	
Brenke, Jean K.	MID-L-006591-18	
Brenton, Clark	MID-L-002070-19	
Brinkley, Allen	MID-L-000197-19	
Brooks, Helena	MID-L-003035-18	
Brooks, Linda	MID-L-003404-19	
Bruce, Robert	MID-L-001308-19	
Bryant, Lynda	MID-L-004976-19	
Buckley, Paul	MID-L-004565-19	
Buckwalter, Doris	MID-L-008308-18	

Plaintiff	Docket No.	Previous Docket No.
Burke, Thomas	MID-L-004286-19	
Barnett, Betty	MID-L-000205-19	
Bash, Alonzo	MID-L-003337-18	MID-L-004023-18
Bussey, William	MID-L-002086-19	
Byerly, Carolyn	MID-L-000970-19	
Byers, Cora	MID-L-001796-19	
Byrd, Sandy	MID-L-004304-18	MID-L-002500-18
Byrd, Sylvester	MID-L-001701-19	
Cannon, Marjorie	MID-L-004575-18	
Carlson, Philip	MID-L-003225-19	
Cartwright, Judy	MID-L-005194-19	
Cartwright, Michael	MID-L-000438-18	
Chadic, Miranda	MID-L-003804-19	
Chambers, Geraldine	MID-L-004374-19	
Chestnut, Tony	MID-L-004375-18	
Coelho, Linda	MID-L-002130-19	
Coffee, Ceresia	MID-L-001527-19	
Cook, Nora	MID-L-002330-19	
Copeland, Karen	MID-L-004249-19	
Couch, Margaret	MID-L-002074-19	
Countryman, Lynda	MID-L-002911-19	
Crabtree, Franklin	MID-L-000003-18	
Cronk, Sharon	MID-L-002045-19	
Cross, Linda	MID-L-005356-20	MID-L-003595-18
Cronk, Terry	MID-L-000073-19	
Csurgo, Rita	MID-L-001299-19	
Cummings, Janice	MID-L-002079-19	
Davidson, Alfred (deceased) Davidson, Joann (admin)	MID-L-000971-19	
Davidson, Darlene	MID-L-001003-19	
Deen, Darlene	MID-L-001165-19	
Delaney, Mary	MID-L-004134-20	MID-L-001318-18
Denton, DeeDee	MID-L-007166-18	
Dial, Donald	MID-L-003310-19	
Diefendorf, Elizabeth	MID-L-000946-19	
Disbrow, Richard	MID-L-003839-19	
Douglas, Margo	MID-L-002423-19	
Douglas, Robert	MID-L-000033-19	
Doyle, Virginia	MID-L-001177-19	
Dugan, Phyllis	MID-L-007301-18	

Plaintiff	Docket No.	Previous Docket No.
Dyer, Philip	MID-L-006871-18	
Earl, Joyce	MID-L-004971-19	
Edwards, Billie	MID-L-008568-18	
Edwards, Hiroko	MID-L-005368-19	
Edwards, Kathy	MID-L-004870-20	MID-L-003374-18
Eichorn, Suzanne	MID-L-001315-19	
Eisler, Joyce	MID-L-008571-18	
Emerson, Bill (deceased) Emerson, Ruby (admin)	MID-L-005142-19	
English, Joseph	MID-L-004316-19	
Erwin, Sandra	MID-L-005273-19	
Escobar, Karen	MID-L-004511-19	
Evans, Rita	MID-L-004875-20	MID-L-003014-18
Farrow, Mildred	MID-L-004279-20	MID-L-001599-18
Feron, Kathleen	MID-L-004214-19	
Fierman, Isabel	MID-L-000303-18	
First, Patti	MID-L-004800-20	MID-L-003013-18
Fischetti, Monica	MID-L-001474-19	
Fixler, Steven	MID-L-000195-19	
Foster, Arlene	MID-L-004222-19	
Foster, Betty	MID-L-001486-19	
Foy, Beverly	MID-L-003733-20	MID-L-007635-17
Francis, Leslie	MID-L-005218-19	
Frech, Edward	MID-L-003211-19	
Frey, Donna	MID-L-004033-20	MID-L-000848-18
Frost, Carol	MID-L-004886-20	MID-L-003374-18
Garcia, Jackie	MID-L-002080-19	
Garcia, Pascasio	MID-L-003344-20	MID-L-004508-17
Garpow, William Roy	MID-L-008250-18	
Giandelone, Susan	MID-L-005566-20	MID-L-003827-18
Gilbertson, Monique	MID-L-004962-19	
Gilman, Boris	MID-L-000324-18	
Goddard, Renee	MID-L-002048-19	
Godwin, Robert	MID-L-002634-19	
Gonzales, Remedios	MID-L-008603-18	
Graves, Johnny	MID-L-004094-20	MID-L-000848-18
Gray, Karen	MID-L-000187-19	
Gray, Martin	MID-L-007241-18	
Graybeal, Keith	MID-L-001179-19	
Gressle, Don	MID-L-000964-19	

Plaintiff	Docket No.	Previous Docket No.
Gundersen, Charles, Jr. (deceased) Gundersen, Deloma (admin)	MID-L-005166-19	
Haus, Teri	MID-L-004841-19	
Haddon, Thomas	MID-L-000158-19	
Hagenloh, Richard	MID-L-000502-18	
Harden, Hazel	MID-L-004575-18	
Hardy, Patricia	MID-L-000085-19	
Harris, Bernadette	MID-L-006437-18	
Harrison, Anita	MID-L-004966-19	
Hay, Bettie J.	MID-L-008667-18	
Heaton, Jenell	MID-L-005025-20	MID-L-003014-18
Henningway, Louva	MID-L-001900-19	
Hickman, Arlon	MID-L-005199-19	
Hicks, Billy	MID-L-004999-19	
Hill, Shirley	MID-L-002664-19	
Hilton, Travis	MID-L-004869-19	
Hinsley, Marion	MID-L-001794-19	
Holcombe, Paula	MID-L-000048-19	
Holley, David G.	MID-L-005205-19	
Hopper, John	MID-L-004397-19	
Humes, Charles	MID-L-008880-19	
Haynik, Tri	MID-L-002124-19	
Jackson, Anna	MID-L-004575-18	
Jacobs, Maureen	MID-L-000918-19	
Jeffcoat, Lisa	MID-L-004566-19	
Jepsen, Arthur	MID-L-008882-19	
Johnson, Gaile	MID-L-002909-19	
Johnson, Ronald	MID-L-003595-18	
Johnson, Ronald	MID-L-000925-19	
Johnson, Sharon	MID-L-009901-19	
Jones, Margie	MID-L-001182-19	
Jonsson, Gregory	MID-L-000921-19	
Kanaeholo, William (deceased) Kanaeholo, Carman (admin)	MID-L-008697-18	
Kavanaugh, Marilyn	MID-L-004408-18	
Keegan, Sharon Alice	MID-L-005220-19	
Keettel, Teresa	MID-L-002120-19	
Keith, James C., Jr.	MID-L-002396-19	
Kenjerski, Nancy	MID-L-004925-19	
Kennedy, David	MID-L-001325-19	

Plaintiff	Docket No.	Previous Docket No.
Killian, Benjamin	MID-L-000063-19	
Kish, Daniel	MID-L-008617-18	
Kline, Vicky	MID-L-001070-19	
Klocke, Joleen	MID-L-002070-19	
Knutter, Jacqueline	MID-L-001475-19	
Koskey, Paul James	MID-L-002062-19	
Kull, Barbara	MID-L-000045-19	
Lane, Eleanor	MID-L-002643-19	
Lane, Fred	MID-L-004926-19	
Larsen, Vern T.	MID-L-008271-18	
Lawrence, Robert	MID-L-004298-19	
Lawson, Betty	MID-L-004412-19	
Leahy, Raymond	MID-L-005197-19	
Lemay, Patricia	MID-L-006919-18	
Leon, Sandra (deceased) Leon, George J., IV (admin)	MID-L-000981-19	
Likovic, Patrick J.	MID-L-004927-19	
Loosemore, Amada	MID-L-004916-19	
Lourenco, Antonio	MID-L-001170-19	
Lowe, Raymond	MID-L-004551-19	
Lyons, Eular	MID-L-004399-19	
Mack, Susan	MID-L-004305-19	
Macone, Dominic	MID-L-008696-18	
Malecki, Ronald	MID-L-004575-18	
Malloy, Kenneth	MID-L-003843-19	
Munego, Elynn	MID-L-007375-18	
Marshall, Georgette	MID-L-004908-20	MID-L-003014-18
Maruski, Frank	MID-L-003748-19	
Master, Dianne	MID-L-002399-19	
McDaniel, Igna	MID-L-001483-19	
Meade, Melba	MID-L-000914-19	
Meehan, Dale	MID-L-003129-19	
Metteer, Brent	MID-L-004222-20	MID-L-001886-18
Miller, Ethel	MID-L-009192-19	
Miller, Raymond	MID-L-002644-19	
Molella, Kathleen	MID-L-004342-20	MID-L-001319-18
Moneymaker, Sherry	MID-L-008656-18	
Montoya, Mary Lou	MID-L-002077-19	
Moore, Douglas	MID-L-005225-19	
Morris, Sandra	MID-L-000839-19	

Plaintiff	Docket No.	Previous Docket No.
Mowatt, Cathryn	MID-L-000159-19	
Nadolni, Diane	MID-L-002433-19	
Nagle, Phillip	MID-L-000579-18	
Nelson, Janet	MID-L-003824-19	
Newell, William	MID-L-003219-19	
Page, Gail	MID-L-000060-19	
Palminiello, Ferdinando	MID-L-005345-20	MID-L-003595-18
Patterson-Gilbert, Jacquelin	MID-L-001528-19	
Payton, Kathy	MID-L-004543-19	
Peterson, Susan	MID-L-005255-19	
Pettit, John	MID-L-004579-19	
Phykitt, Arlynn	MID-L-003176-19	
Pierce, Connie	MID-L-000079-19	
Pollaro, Joe	MID-L-003892-19	
Provost, Robert	MID-L-002078-19	
Putman, William	MID-L-000094-19	
Rabon, Carolyn	MID-L-004217-19	
Radjenovic, Patricia	MID-L-001185-19	
Ray, Karla	MID-L-004268-19	
Recuparo, Antonette	MID-L-003878-19	
Renaud, Marcia	MID-L-004954-19	
Revie, Steven	MID-L-002057-19	
Reynolds, Rosemarie	MID-L-002882-19	
Reynoso, Annie	MID-L-003190-19	
Richards, Bruce Eric	MID-L-004919-19	
Rocco, Lawrence	MID-L-000874-19	
Roderick, John	MID-L-000837-19	
Roemer, Mary Ann	MID-L-003226-19	
Rogers, Mary	MID-L-003372-19	
Rombberger, Susan	MID-L-007253-18	
Ropick, Stanley	MID-L-004545-20	MID-L-003614-18
Rosso, Sharon	MID-L-001787-19	
Routon, Frances (deceased)		
Routon, Patricia (admin)	MID-L-003564-20	MID-L-003627-18
Routon, Donald (admin)		
Roy, Judith	MID-L-004952-20	MID-L-003014-18
Samano, Norma	MID-L-005095-20	MID-L-002500-18
Sands, Diane	MID-L-002636-19	
Sarboukh, Geraldine	MID-L-007634-17	
Schaffner, Nancy	MID-L-001929-19	

Plaintiff	Docket No.	Previous Docket No.
Schofield, Rebecca	MID-L-000070-18	
Schwerin, Diane	MID-L-003135-19	
Scott-Guyton, Gloria	MID-L-003827-19	
Seidner, David	MID-L-002082-19	
Serrano, Ernestine	MID-L-004505-19	
Short, Daniel	MID-L-007912-18	
Sides, Teresa	MID-L-004276-19	
Simmons, William	MID-L-001297-19	
Simpson, Robert	MID-L-006705-18	
Smith, Bonnie	MID-L-005340-20	MID-L-003595-18
Smith, Cynthia	MID-L-007161-18	
Smith, Denise	MID-L-008619-18	
Smith, Mary	MID-L-004290-19	
Smith, Michael	MID-L-000075-18	
Snodgrass, Carol	MID-L-005394-20	MID-L-003932-18
Snyder, Hue	MID-L-001675-19	
Starrett, Carolyn	MID-L-005007-20	MID-L-002500-18
Stearns, Janice	MID-L-002083-19	
Stokes, Priscilla Ann	MID-L-000014-18	
Therrien, Maxine	MID-L-008681-18	
Thompson, Kenneth	MID-L-005012-20	MID-L-002500-18
Tignor, Deborah	MID-L-000019-19	
Tilman, Donald	MID-L-001507-19	
Tobias, Stacey L.	MID-L-001516-19	
Tritt, Elizabeth	MID-L-000895-19	
Troy, Joseph	MID-L-005277-19	
Turner, Christopher	MID-L-003801-19	
Tuthill, Kenyon	MID-L-001172-19	
Valdez, Sarah	MID-L-004964-20	MID-L-003014-18
Warzinski, Barbara	MID-L-004555-19	
Watkins, James Doug	MID-L-004300-19	
Webster, Vicky	MID-L-000150-19	
Weingardt, Pattie	MID-L-002662-19	
Wisner, Marianne	MID-L-002415-19	
Woods, Anna Marie	MID-L-001599-18	
Wright, Glynda D.	MID-L-004236-19	
Yancy, Robert	MID-L-004407-19	
Yarbrough-Auten, Carolyn	MID-L-004483-19	
Younger, Michael	MID-L-000843-19	
Zinna, Linda	MID-L-007929-18	

Plaintiff	Docket No.	Previous Docket No.
Clark, Karen	MID-L-003595-18	
Jensen, Nancy	MID-L-004900-20	MID-L-003374-18
Marshall, Nancy	MID-L-005004-20	MID-L-003500-18
Palmer, Norma	MID-L-004220-20	MID-L-001000-18
Riser, Paul	MID-L-004995-20	MID-L-001915-18
Stubbs, Louise	MID-L-004312-20	MID-L-001599-18
Thornburg, Arlene	MID-L-000728-18	