

Stephen C. Matthews (#055801994)
DLA PIPER LLP (US)
51 John F. Kennedy Parkway, Suite 120
Short Hills, New Jersey 07078-2704
Telephone No.: (973) 520-2541
Fax No.: (973) 215-2602
stephen.matthews@us.dlapiper.com
*Attorneys for Defendants Sanofi U.S. Services, Inc.
and sanofi-aventis U.S. LLC*

FILED

May 12, 2023

HON. BRUCE J. KAPLAN, J.S.C.

VALERIE PALMER and PETER PALMER,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

SANOFI U.S. SERVICES INC., formerly
known as SANOFI-AVENTIS U.S. INC;
SANOFI-AVENTIS U.S. LLC, separately and
doing business as WINTHROP U.S.; and
SANDOZ, INC.,

Defendants.

SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY
LAW DIVISION - MIDDLESEX COUNTY
DOCKET NO. MID-L-7816-18

CASE TYPE: MCL NO. 628

Civil Action

In Re Taxotere Litigation

**ORDER GRANTING MOTION TO
WITHDRAW *PRO HAC VICE*
APPEARANCE OF
MAUREEN MOEDER**

WHEREAS, Defendants, Sanofi U.S. Services, Inc. and sanofi-aventis U.S. LLC.,
by and through its attorneys DLA PIPER LLP (US), upon notice to all interested parties,
has moved before this Court for withdrawal of the *pro hac vice* admission of Maureen
Moeder; and the Court having considered the papers submitted in support thereof; and for
other good cause shown,

IT IS on this 12th day of May, 2023;

ORDERED that the motion is granted, and the *pro hac vice* admission of Maureen
Moeder, Esquire, is hereby withdrawn for all purposes and in all proceedings in connection
with the above-captioned matter, with an effective date of as of the date of this Order, and
it is further

ORDERED that DLA PIPER LLP (US), shall forward a copy of this Order to the Treasurer of the New Jersey Fund for Client Protection within ten (10) days of the date of this Order, and it is further

ORDERED that the posting of this Order on eCourts shall constitute service upon all counsel of record. Pursuant to R. 1:5-1(a), the movant shall serve a copy of this Order upon all parties not served electronically within seven (7) days of receipt of this Order.

/s/ Bruce J. Kaplan
HONORABLE BRUCE J. KAPLAN, J.S.C.

Unopposed

Having reviewed the within motion, this Court finds it to be meritorious on its face and is unopposed. Pursuant to R. 1:6-2, it therefore will be granted essentially for the reasons set forth in the moving papers.