	ORDER GRANTING <i>PRO HAC VICE</i> ADMISSION OF STEPHEN D. BRODY
IN RE TALC-BASED PRODUCTS LITIGATION	CIVIL ACTION
	Docket No. ATL-L-2648-15 In Re: Talc-Based Products Litigation Case No.: 300
	SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY LAW DIVISION ATLANTIC COUNTY
PREPARED BY THE COURT	

THIS MATTER being opened to the Court by Faegre Drinker Biddle & Reath LLP, attorneys for Defendant, Johnson & Johnson for an Order admitting Stephen D. Brody, *pro hac vice*, and the Court having considered the respective certifications of Susan M. Sharko, Esq. and Stephen D. Brody, Esq., submitted in support of the motion, and for good cause having been shown;

**IT IS** on this 11<sup>th</sup> day of December, 2023, **ORDERED** that the application for *pro hac vice* admission of Stephen D. Brody, Esq., is hereby **GRANTED**; and

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Stephen D. Brody, Esq., shall:

- (a) abide by the Rules Governing the Courts of the State of New Jersey, including the Rules of Professional Conduct, <u>R.</u> 1:20-1 and <u>R.</u> 1:28-2;
- (b) consent to the appointment of the Clerk of the Supreme Court of New Jersey as agent upon whom process may be served for all actions against him or his firm that may arise out of his participation in this matter;
- (c) notify the Court immediately of any matter affecting his standing at the Bar of any other jurisdiction;

- (d) have all pleadings, briefs, and other papers filed with the Court signed by an attorney of record who is authorized to practice law in the State of New Jersey and who shall be held responsible for the conduct of this matter and for the conduct of the attorney who is admitted *pro hac vice*;
- (e) not be designated as trial counsel pursuant to  $\underline{R}$ . 4:25-4;
- (f) not have discovery, motion, trial, or any other proceeding delayed or be requested by reason of his inability to be in attendance; and
- (g) within thirty (30) days, pay the fees required by <u>R</u>. 1:20-1(b) and <u>R</u>. 1:28-2 and submit an affidavit of compliance;

**IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that noncompliance with any of the terms of this Order shall constitute grounds for removal.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that service of this Order shall be deemed effectuated upon all parties upon its upload to eCourts. Pursuant to <u>R</u>. 1:5-1(a), movant shall serve a copy of this Order on all parties not served electronically within seven (7) days of the date of this Order.

Who L. HON. JOHN C. PORTO, P.J.Cv.

() Opposed

(X) Unopposed

Prepared by the Court

## IN RE TALC-BASED POWDER PRODUCTS LITIGATION

## SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY LAW DIVISION -- ATLANTIC COUNTY

Docket No. ATL-L-2648-15 In Re: Talc-Based Products Litigation Case No.: 300

CIVIL ACTION

## MEMORANDUM OF DECISION PURSUANT TO RULE 1:6-2(f)

On December 6, 2023, Susan M. Sharko, Esq., a New Jersey attorney and a member of the law firm of Faegre Drinker Biddle & Reath LLP, counsel for the Defendant, Johnson & Johnson ("J&J"), filed an unopposed notice of Motion for an Order granting the *pro hac vice* admission of Stephen D. Brody, Esquire, an attorney admitted to practice law in the Virginia; the District of Columbia; the United States District Courts for the District of Columbia; the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia, Third, Fourth, Sixth, Seventh, Ninth, and Tenth Circuits; and the United States Supreme Court to appear on behalf of Defendant for the purposes of appearing, participating, and representing Johnson & Johnson as co-counsel in all phases of trial in this matter. It appears to this Court that Stephen D. Brody is a licensed attorney in good standing in the aforementioned jurisdictions and seeks admission *pro hac vice* because said Defendant requested the admission based upon Stephen D. Brody's longstanding relationship with them and his substantial expertise in handling claims of this nature. As Stephen D. Brody is familiar with said causes of action, this will facilitate the orderly trial of the matter and minimize delay.

Having reviewed the above motion and certification, the Court finds it is meritorious on its face. Pursuant to <u>R</u>. 1:6-2 the motion is granted essentially for the reasons set forth in the moving papers.

An Order commensurate with this court's decision is entered on eCourts.

JOHN C. PORTO, P.J.Cv.

Date: December 11, 2023