

#0895

McCARTER & ENGLISH, LLP

Four Gateway Center
100 Mulberry Street
P.O. Box 652
Newark, New Jersey 07101-0652
(973) 622-4444

*Attorneys for Defendants
Astrazeneca Pharmaceuticals LP,
Astrazeneca LP, Zeneca Inc. and
KBI Sub Inc.*

FILED
AUG 14 2009
Judge Jamie D. Happas

RENE SHAWKY,

Plaintiff,

v.

ASTRAZENECA PHARMACEUTICALS LP;
ASTRAZENECA LP; ASTRA USA, INC.; KBI
SUB, INC.; ZENECA, INC.; ASTRA USA
HOLDINGS CORPORATION;
ASTRAZENECA, AB; ASTRAZENECA, PLC;
and ASTRAZENECA, UK LIMITED; JOHN
DOE(S) 1 through 20; and JANE DOE(S) 1
through 20,

Defendants.

SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY
LAW DIVISION: MIDDLESEX COUNTY

DOCKET NO. MID-L-10573-08

CIVIL ACTION

In Re Risperdal/Seroquel/Zyprexa Litigation
Case No. 274

**ORDER OF DISMISSAL OF PLAINTIFF'S
COMPLAINT WITH PREJUDICE PURSUANT
TO CASE MANAGEMENT ORDER NOS. 4
and 4A.**


THIS MATTER having been brought before the Court by McCarter & English, LLP, attorneys for Defendants AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals LP, AstraZeneca LP, Zeneca Inc., KBI Sub Inc., and Astra USA, Inc. (collectively "AstraZeneca") to dismiss plaintiff 's Complaint with prejudice as authorized by Case Management Order No. 4A ("CMO 4A"), § II.H.2.; and an Order of Dismissal Without Prejudice having been entered by this Court on May 28, 2009; and the Court having considered the papers submitted, and for good cause shown;

IT IS on this 14 day of August, 2009;

ORDERED that AstraZeneca's motion is hereby **GRANTED** and that plaintiff's Complaint is **DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE** as to all parties - served and unserved - pursuant to CMO 4, § II.G, and CMO 4A, § II.H.2 and it is further

ORDERED that a signed copy of this Order be served on all counsel within seven (7) days of the date hereof; and it is further

ORDERED that upon being served with the within order of dismissal with prejudice, plaintiff's counsel shall forthwith serve a copy of this order on the plaintiff by regular and certified mail, return receipt requested.



Jamie D. Haggas, J.S.C.

 X Unopposed
 Opposed

Having reviewed the above motion, I find it to be meritorious on its face and is unopposed. Pursuant to R.1:6-2, it therefore will be granted essentially for the reasons set forth in the moving papers.

**On this date, pursuant to R.1:6-2
the court's statement of reasons
have been set forth on the record.**