

#145  
5/28/09  
tc

**WEITZ & LUXENBERG**  
A New York Professional Corporation  
210 Lake Drive East, Suite 101  
Cherry Hill, NJ 08002  
Tel. (856) 755-1115

**FILED**

**MAY 28 2009**

Judge Jamie D. Happas

**BAILEY PERRIN BAILEY**  
440 Louisiana St., Suite 2100  
Houston, Texas 77002  
Tel. (713) 425-7100

Attorneys for Plaintiff

<p>KAREN CESAL, ON BEHALF OF GERALD M. CESAL. AN INCAPACITATED ADULT,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Plaintiff,</p> <p>vs.</p> <p>JOHNSON &amp; JOHNSON COMPANY; JANSSEN PHARMACEUTICA PRODUCTS, L.P. a/k/a JANSSEN, L.P., a/k/a JANSSEN PHARMACEUTICA, L.P., a/k/a JANSSEN PHARMACEUTICA, INC.; ORTHO-MCNEIL-JANSSEN PHARMACEUTICAL, INC. f/k/a JANSSEN PHARMACEUTICA; JOHN DOE Nos. 1 through 20; and JANE DOE Nos. 1 through 20,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Defendants.</p>	<p>: SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY</p> <p>: LAW DIVISION</p> <p>: MIDDLESEX COUNTY</p> <p>: </p> <p>: </p> <p>: CIVIL ACTION</p> <p>: </p> <p>: CASE CODE 274</p> <p>: (Risperdal/Seroquel/Zyprexa Litigation)</p> <p>: </p> <p>: DOCKET NO. MID-L-6901-06 (MT)</p> <p>: </p> <p>: <b>ORDER GRANTING LEAVE TO</b></p> <p>: <b>AMEND COMPLAINT AND</b></p> <p>: <b>DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL</b></p> <p>: </p> <p>: </p> <p>: </p>
--	--


**THIS MATTER** having been brought before the Court by Leslie LaMacchia, counsel for plaintiff, on a Motion pursuant to R. 4:9-1 for an Order granting leave to amend plaintiff's Complaint and Demand for Jury Trial to add the proper party to the litigation; and the Court having read the moving papers and the opposition, if any, thereto; and for good cause shown;

IT IS on this 28<sup>th</sup> day of May, 2009

**ORDERED** that plaintiff be and hereby are granted leave to file an Amended Complaint and Demand for Jury Trial in the form submitted to the Court on this Motion; and it is further

**ORDERED** that plaintiff's Amended Complaint and Demand for Jury Trial be filed with the Clerk of the Superior Court, Law Division, Middlesex County, within 7 days of the date of this Order; and it is further

**ORDERED** that counsel for plaintiff shall serve a copy of this Order on counsel for defendant.



---

Hon. Jamie Happas, J.S.C.

Motion          Opposed  
  X   Unopposed

Having reviewed the above motion, I find it to be meritorious on its face and is unopposed. Pursuant to R.1:6-2, it therefore will be granted essentially for the reasons set forth in the moving papers.