COUGHLIN DUFFY LLP
350 Mount Kemble Avenue
P.O. Box 1917
Morristown, New Jersey 07962-1917
(973) 267-0058
Attorneys for Defendants AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals LP,
AstraZeneca LP, KBI Sub Inc., Zeneca Inc., and Astra USA, Inc.

POTATE OF HARLANDROADDUG	: SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY
ESTATE OF HARLAN BROADDUS,	: LAW DIVISION: MIDDLESEX COUNTY
Plaintiff,	DOCKET NO. MID-L-590-07-MT
V.	CIVIL ACTION
ASTRAZENECA PHARMACEUTICALS LP, et al.,	 In Re Risperdal/Seroquel/Zyprexa Litigation Case No. 274
Defendants.	ORDER OF DISMISSAL WITHOUT PREJUDICE
	RETURN DATE: MARCH 7, 2008
	:

THIS MATTER having been brought before the Court by Coughlin Duffy LLP, attorneys for Defendants AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals LP, AstraZeneca LP, KBI Sub Inc., Zeneca Inc., and Astra USA, Inc. (collectively "AstraZeneca") to dismiss plaintiff's Complaint without prejudice for failure to serve a Short Form Plaintiff Fact Sheet pursuant to Case Management Order No. 4 ("CMO 4"), § II.G.; such dismissal without prejudice being authorized by Case Management Order No. 4A ("CMO 4A"), § II.H.1.; the Court having considered the papers submitted, and for good cause shown;

IT IS on this 7th day of March, 2008;

ORDERED that AstraZeneca's motion is hereby **GRANTED** and that plaintiff's Complaint is **DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE** as to all parties – served and unserved – pursuant to CMO 4, § II.G., and CMO 4A, § II.H.1.; and it is further

ORDERED that a signed copy of this Order be served on all counsel within seven (7) days of the date hereof; and it is further

ORDERED that upon being served with the within order of dismissal without prejudice, plaintiff's counsel shall forthwith serve a copy of this order on the plaintiff by regular and certified mail, return receipt requested, accompanied by a notice in the form prescribed by Appendix II-F of the New Jersey Rules of Court, specifically explaining the consequences of failure to serve a materially Completed Short Form PFS (which must include properly executed Authorizations and Acknowledgement) and to file and serve a timely motion to restore.

Jamie D. Happas, J.S.C.

✓ Unopposed Opposed

> Having reviewed the above motion, I find it to be meritorious on its face and is unopposed. Pursuant to R.1:6-2, it therefore will be granted essentially for the reasons set forth in the moving papers.

FILED

MAR 07 2008

Judge Jamie D. Happen