Motor# 294 9/28/12 Jd Mayer ec

SHELLER, P.C.

A Pennsylvania Professional Corporation Brian J. McCormick, Jr. 1528 Walnut Street, Floor 4 Philadelphia, PA 19102 Tel. (215) 790-7300

FILED

SEP 28 2012 JUDGE JESSICA R. MAYER

Attorneys for Plaintiff HOWARD MILLER

HOWARD MILLER, et al.,	: SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY
Plaintiff,	: LAW DIVISION : MIDDLESEX COUNTY
v.	: : DOCKET NO. MID-L-9114-06-MT
JOHNSON & JOHNSON COMPANY, et al	: Case Code No. 274
Defendants.	: ORDER TO VACATE DISMISSAL : AND TO REINSTATE COMPLAINT AN TU -: JANSSEN DEFENDENTS

THIS MATTER having been brought before the Court by Sheller, P.C., counsel for Plaintiff HOWARD MILLER, on a Motion pursuant to R. 4:23-5 for an Order vacating a prior Order of Dismissal without Prejudice, reinstating the action and Demand for Jury Trial; and the Court having read the moving papers and the opposition, if any, thereto; and having considered the arguments of counsel; and for good cause shown;

ORDERED that the Order of November 4, 2011, dismissing Plaintiff's Complaint without prejudice be and hereby is VACATED, and the Complaint in the above-captioned action be and hereby is reinstated; and it is further ORDERED that counsel for Plaintiff shall serve a copy of this Order on counsel for defendant within	IT IS on this	28th	day of	St Men &	, 2012,
this Order on chunsel for defendant within days of the date of this Order. HON. JESSICA R. MAYER, J.S.C.	ORDERED that the Ord	ler of Novemb	oer 4, 2011, di	ismissing Plaintiff's Comp	laint without
this Order on chunsel for defendant within days of the date of this Order. HON. JESSICA R. MAYER, J.S.C.	prejudice be and hereby	is VACATED	, and the Con	aplaint in the above-captio	ned action be and
HON. ÆSSICA R. MAYER, J.S.C.	hereby is reinstated; and	it is further O	RDERED the	at counsel for Plaintiff sha	It serve a copy of
HON. ÆSSICA R. MAYER, J.S.C.	this Order on chunsel for	r line r defendant wi	ithin	days of the daye of this Or	der.
				All	1
				HON. JESSICA R. MAY	ER, J.S.C.

UNOPPOSED

"Having reviewed the above motion, I find it to be meritorious on its face and is unopposed. Pursuant to R. 1:6-2, it therefore will be granted essentially for the reasons set forth in the moving papers."