ATL L 002122-18 10/04/2018 Pg 1 of 8 Trans ID: LCV20181735952

FILED

OCT 04 2018

JOHN C. PORTO, J.S.C.

PR	\mathbf{EPA}	RED	\mathbf{BY}	THE	COU	RT

IN RE PHYSIOMESH LITIGATION (Flexible Composite Mesh)

SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY LAW DIVISION: ATLANTIC COUNTY CASE NO: 627 Civil Action MASTER CASE NO. ATL-L-2122-18

ORDER GRANTING KENNETH P. CONOUR ESQUIRE, ADMISSION PRO HAC VICE

THIS MATTER having been brought before the Court by McCarter & English, LLP, attorneys for Defendants, Johnson & Johnson and Ethicon, Inc., for an Order allowing Kenneth P. Conour, Esquire to appear and participate *pro hac vice*, and the Court having considered the application, and any opposition thereto, and with good cause appearing:

IT IS, on this _____day of October, 2018;

ORDERED that Kenneth P. Conour, Esquire shall be admitted *pro hac vice* in the above-captioned matter; and it is

FURTHER ORDERED that Kenneth P. Conour, Esquire shall abide by the New Jersey Rules of Civil Procedure, including all disciplinary rules issued by the Supreme Court of New Jersey; and it is

FURTHER ORDERED that by reason of his admission, Kenneth P. Conour, Esquire consents to the appointment of the Clerk of the Supreme Court of New Jersey as his agent upon whom service of process may be made of all actions which may hereinafter be brought against him or his firm arising from their participation in this matter; and it is

FURTHER ORDERED that Kenneth P. Conour, Esquire shall notify this Court immediately if any matter arises which affects his standing before the Bar for the State of California, the United States District Courts for the Nourthern, Southern, Eastern, and Central Districts of California, The United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit, the Supreme Court of the United States, and the Bar for the State of Tennessee, if and when admission is granted; and it is

FURTHER ORDERED that all pleadings, briefs and other papers filed on behalf of Defendants, Johnson & Johnson and Ethicon, Inc., shall also be signed by a member of the firm of McCarter & English, LLP, and that firm shall be responsible for such papers and the conduct of this case and the attorney admitted herein; and it is

FURTHER ORDERED that Kenneth P. Conour, Esquire pay the required annual fees to the New Jersey Lawyers' Fund for Client Protection in accordance with New Jersey Rule 1:28-2, the Oversight Committee in accordance with Rule 1:20-1(b), and the Lawyers Assistance Program in accordance with Rule 1:28B-1(e) within ten (10) days of this Order and shall submit an affidavit of compliance; and it is

FURTHER ORDERED that no delay or adjournment in discovery, motions, trial or any other proceeding shall be requested by reason of Kenneth P. Conour, Esquire's inability to appear; and it is

FURTHER ORDERED that automatic termination of *pro hac vice* admission shall occur for failure to make the required annual payment of the Annual Fee and the annual payment to the Lawyer's Assistance Fund and the New Jersey Lawyer's Fund for Client Protection. Proof of such payment, after filing proof of the initial payment, shall be made no later than February 1st of each year; and it is

FURTHER ORDERED that non-compliance with any of these requirements shall constitute grounds for removal; and it is

FURTHER ORDERED that a signed copy of this Order be served upon all counsel within seven (7) days of the date hereof.

HON. JOHN C. PORTO, J.S.C.

[] Opposed

[\times] Unopposed



NOT FOR PUBLICATION WITHOUT THE APPROVAL OF THE COMMITTEE ON OPINIONS

John C. Porto, J.S.C.

1201 Bacharach Boulevard Atlantic City, NJ 08401-4527 609-402-0100 ext. 47820

SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY LAW DIVISION ATLANTIC COUNTY

MEMORANDUM OF DECISION ON MOTION

TO: David R. Knott, Esquire
McCarter & English, LLP
Four Gateway Center
100 Mulberry Street
P.O. Box 652
Newark, NJ 07101-0652
Attorneys for Defendants, Johnson &
Johnson and Ethicon, Inc.

William M. Gage, Esquire
Butler Snow, LLP
Renaissance at Colony Park, Suite 1400
1020 Highland Colony Parkway
P.O. Box 6010
Ridgeland, MS 39151-6010
Attorneys for Defendants, Johnson &
Johnson and Ethicon, Inc.

G. Brian Jackson, Esquire
Butler Snow, LLP
The Pinnacle at Symphony Place
150 3rd Avenue South, Suite 1600
Nashville, TN 37201
Attorneys for Defendants, Johnson &
Johnson and Ethicon, Inc.

Joshua S. Kincannon, Esquire Lomurro, Munson, Comer, Brown & Schottland, LLC 4 Paragon Way, Suite 100 Freehold, NJ 07728 Attorney for Plaintiff

Michael G. Daly, Esquire Pogust Braslow & Millrood, LLC Eight Tower Bridge, Suite 940 161 Washington Street Conshohocken, PA 19428 Attorney for Plaintiff

Tobias L. Millrood, Esquire Pogust Braslow & Millrood, LLC Eight Tower Bridge, Suite 940 161 Washington Street Conshohocken, PA 19428 Attorney for Plaintiff

Michael A. London, Esquire Douglas & London, P.C. 59 Maiden Lane, 6th Floor New York, NY 10038 *Attorney for Plaintiff* Kenneth P. Conour, Esquire Butler Snow, LLP The Pinnacle at Symphony Place 150 3rd Avenue South, Suite 1600 Nashville, TN 37201 Attorneys for Defendants, Johnson & Johnson and Ethicon, Inc.

Richard T. Bernardo, Esquire Skadden Arps Slate Meagher & Flom, LLP 4 Times Square New York, NY 10036 Attorneys for Defendants, Johnson & Johnson and Ethicon, Inc.

Robin Shah, Esquire Skadden Arps Slate Meagher & Flom, LLP 4 Times Square New York, NY 10036 Attorneys for Defendants, Johnson & Johnson and Ethicon, Inc.

Jillian A. S. Roman, Esquire Cohen, Placitella & Roth 127 Maple Avenue Red Bank, NJ 07701 Attorney for Plaintiff

Marc Grossman, Esquire Sanders Phillips Grossman, LLC 100 Garden City Plaza, Suite 500 Garden City, NY 11530 Attorney for Plaintiff

Randi Kassan, Esquire Sanders Phillips Grossman, LLC 100 Garden City Plaza, Suite 500 Garden City, NY 11530 Attorney for Plaintiff James A. Barry, Esquire Locks Law Firm 801 North Kings Highway Cherry Hill, NJ 08034 Attorney for Plaintiff

Michael A. Galpern, Esquire Locks Law Firm 801 North Kings Highway Cherry Hill, NJ 08034 Attorney for Plaintiff

Adam M. Slater, Esquire Mazie Slater Katz & Freeman, LLC 103 Eisenhower Parkway Roseland, NJ 07068 Attorney for Plaintiff

Edward Ruffo, Esquire Rheingold Giuffra Ruffo & Plotkin, LLP 551 5th Avenue, 29th Floor New York, NY 10176 Attorney for Plaintiff

Peter Samberg, Esquire Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 220 Lake Drive East, Suite 210 Cherry Hill, NJ 08802 Attorney for Plaintiff

Martin P. Schrama, Esquire Stark & Stark, P.C. 993 Lenox Drive Lawrenceville, NJ 08646 Attorney for Plaintiff

Stefanie Colella-Walsh, Esquire Stark & Stark, P.C. 993 Lenox Drive Lawrenceville, NJ 08646 Attorney for Plaintiff Sindhu S. Daniel, Esquire Baron & Budd, P.C. 3102 Oak Lawn Avenue, Suite 1100 Dallas, TX 75219 Attorney for Plaintiff

Karen Beyea-Schroeder, Esquire Burnett Law Firm 3737 Buffalo Speedway, 18th Floor Houston, TX 77089 Attorney for Plaintiff

RE: In Re Physiomesh Litigation (Flexible

DOCKET NO. ATL-L-2122-18

Composite Mesh)

NATURE OF MOTION: Motion to Admit Kenneth P. Conour, Esquire Pro Hac Vice on behalf of Defendants.

HAVING CAREFULLY REVIEWED THE MOVING PAPERS AND ANY RESPONSE FILED, I HAVE RULED ON THE ABOVE CAPTIONED MOTION(S) AS FOLLOWS:

Defendants, represented by David R. Knott, Esquire, brings this motion seeking an order to admit Kenneth P. Conour, Esquire pro hac vice. Mr. Knott is licensed to practice law within the State of New Jersey in accordance with Rule 1:21-1 and is a member of the law firm of McCarter & English, LLP. Kenneth P. Conour, Esquire is currently a member in good standing of the bar in the highest court for the State of California, United States District Court for the Northern, Southern, Eastern, and Central Districts of California, the U.S. Court of Appeals 9th Circuit, and the Supreme Court of the United States. Mr. Conour also is authorized to practice pending admission in the Supreme Court for the State of Tennessee. Mr. Conour is also a partner at the law firm of Butler Snow, LLP. Mr. Conour has been a licensed attorney since 1990 and has developed expertise in the claims made against the Defendants. Moreover, Mr. Jackson's representation was requested by Defendants. This motion is unopposed and is governed by \underline{R} . 1:21-2, Appearances *Pro Hac Vice*.

<u>R.</u> 1:21-2 states in pertinent part that an attorney in good standing of another jurisdiction, whether practicing law in such other jurisdiction as an individual or a member or employee of a partnership or an employee of a professional corporation or limited liability entity authorized to practice law in such other jurisdiction, or an attorney admitted in this state, of good standing, who does not maintain a *bona fide* office in this state, may, at the discretion of the court in which any matter is pending, be permitted, *pro hac vice*, to speak in such matter in the same manner as an attorney of this state who maintains a *bona fide* office for the practice of law in this state and who is therefore, pursuant to <u>R.</u> 1:21-1(a), authorized to practice in this state. No attorney shall be admitted under this rule without annually complying with <u>R.</u> 1:20-1(b) and <u>R.</u> 1:28-2 during the period of admission. Additionally, a party must demonstrate good cause for admission *pro hac vice*. R. 1:21-2(b)(3) provides:

In civil actions the motion shall be granted only if the court finds, from the supporting affidavit, that there is good cause for such admission, which shall include at least one of the following: (emphasis added)

- (A) the cause in which the attorney seeks admission involves a complex field of law in which the attorney is a specialist, or
- (B) there has been an attorney-client relationship with the client for an extended period of time, or
- (C) there is a lack of local counsel with adequate expertise in the field involved, or
- (D) the cause presents questions of law involving the law of the foreign jurisdiction in which the applicant is licensed, or
- (E) there is need for extensive discovery or other proceedings in the foreign jurisdiction in which the applicant is licensed, or
- (F) such other reason similar to those set forth in this subsection as would present good cause for the pro hac vice admission.

This Court finds, based on the certifications submitted in support of the application that the moving party articulated that Defendants requested the representation of Mr. Conour in this litigation. The Court finds the two certifications of counsel address a necessary element required

ATL L 002122-18 10/04/2018 Pg 8 of 8 Trans ID: LCV20181735952

under R. 1:21-1(a) and R. 1:21-2 for the Court to make the findings necessary at this time for pro

hac vice. Accordingly, the Court GRANTS Defendant's Motion.

An appropriate Order consistent with R. 1:21-2(c) is entered. Conformed copies will

accompany this Memorandum of Decision. A copy of the Order and this Memorandum of

Decision shall be served by the movant on all counsel of record within seven (7) days of the date

hereof.

Date: 10 4 6

IOHN PORTO ISC