Kelly S. Crawford, Esq. RIKER DANZIG LLP Headquarters Plaza One Speedwell Avenue Morristown, NJ 07962 (973) 538-0800



Attorneys for Defendants, Ethicon, Inc. and Johnson & Johnson

CHRISTINE ONGLEY

SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY LAW DIVISION – BERGEN COUNTY DOCKET NO. BER-L-001641-20

Plaintiff,

MASTER DOCKET NO. BER-L-006400-19

VS.

CIVIL ACTION
In Re Pelvic Mesh/Gynecare Litigation
Case No. 291

ETHICON, INC., ETHICON WOMEN'S HEALTH AND UROLOGY, a Division of Ethicon, Inc., GYNECARE, JOHNSON & JOHNSON, AND JOHN DOES 1-20

NOTICE OF SETTLEMENT AND OCCUPANT TO STAY CAO 84

AS TO PLANTIFF

Defendants.

The parties would like to advise the Court that a settlement in principle has been reached in this matter, which is the subject of CMO 84 activation.

It will likely take several months before the settlement will be finalized. As a result, the parties jointly request that this case be moved to inactive status and/or that any deadlines and other requirements be stayed until such time as the settlement can be concluded and this case can be dismissed with prejudice.

In the event the settlement is not finalized, the parties will promptly inform

Your Honor so that any such case can be restored to the active docket of this Court.

IT IS on this 18 day of MARCI, 2023, ORDERED as follows:

Any deadlines and proceedings in this matter, including, but not limited to those established in CMO 84, are stayed pending further order of the Court

GREGO A. PADOVANO, J.S.C.

THE UNDERSIGNED CONSENT TO THE FORM AND ENTRY OF THIS ORDER:

Karen Beyea-Schroeder, Esq. Schroeder Law Office, PLLC P.O. Box 131747 The Woodlands, TX 77393 Attorneys for Plaintiff Christine Ongley Kelly S. Crawford, Esq.
RIKER DANZIG LLP
Headquarters Plaza
One Speedwell Avenue
Morristown, NJ 07962
Attorneys for Defendants, Ethicon, Inc.
and Johnson & Johnson

By: /s/Karen Beyea-Schroeder¹
Karen Beyea-Schroeder

Dated: March 7, 2024

By: <u>/s/ Kelly S. Crawford</u>¹ Kelly S. Crawford

Dated: March 7, 2024

4860-6244-5446, v. 1

¹ Pursuant to the New Jersey Supreme Court Omnibus Order on Covid-19 issues entered on March 27, 2020, "The provisions of Rule 1:32-2A(c) and all other Court Rules requiring original signatures on filings are relaxed and supplemented so as to permit electronic signatures to be used in all filing processes temporarily authorized to be used during the COVID-19 crisis, including, but not limited to emergent applications submitted by email and hardcopy submissions in dockets without an approved electronic filing system..."