Kelly S. Crawford, Esq. RIKER DANZIG LLP Headquarters Plaza One Speedwell Avenue Morristown, NJ 07962 (973) 538-0800

FILED

MAR 08 2024

GREGG A. PADOVANO, J.S.C.

Attorneys for Defendants, Ethicon, Inc. and Johnson & Johnson

TAMMY LEONARDS

SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY LAW DIVISION – BERGEN COUNTY DOCKET NO. BER-L-008898-16

Plaintiff,

MASTER DOCKET NO. BER-L-011575-14

VS.

ETHICON, INC., ETHICON WOMEN'S HEALTH AND UROLOGY, a Division of Ethicon, Inc., GYNECARE, JOHNSON & JOHNSON, AND JOHN DOES 1-20

Defendants.

CIVIL ACTION
In Re Pelvic Mesh/Gynecare Litigation
Case No. 291

NOTICE OF SETTLEMENT AND
REQUEST TO STAY

The parties would like to advise the Court that a settlement in principle has been reached in this matter, which is the subject of CMO 84 activation.

It will likely take several months before the settlement will be finalized. As a result, the parties jointly request that this case be moved to inactive status and/or that any deadlines and other requirements be stayed until such time as the settlement can be concluded and this case can be dismissed with prejudice.

In the event the settlement is not finalized, the parties will promptly inform Your Honor so that any such case can be restored to the active docket of this Court.

IT IS on this day of MxICN, 2024, ORDERED as follows:

Any deadlines and proceedings in this matter, including, but not limited to those

established in CMO 84 are stayed pending further order of the Court.

GREGGA. PADOVANO, J.S.C.

THE UNDERSIGNED CONSENT TO THE FORM AND ENTRY OF THIS ORDER:

Richard L. Elem, Esq.
Law Offices of Jan Meyer
& Associates, PC
1029 Teaneck Rd, Second Floor
Teaneck, NJ 07666
Attorneys for Plaintiff Tammy Leonards

Kelly S. Crawford, Esq.
RIKER DANZIG LLP
Headquarters Plaza
One Speedwell Avenue
Morristown, NJ 07962
Attorneys for Defendants, Ethicon, Inc.
and Johnson & Johnson

By: Richard L. Elem/s/1

By: /s/ Kelly S. Crawford¹
Kelly S. Crawford

Dated: March 7, 2024

Dated: March 7, 2024

¹ Pursuant to the New Jersey Supreme Court Omnibus Order on Covid-19 issues entered on March 27, 2020, "The provisions of Rule 1:32-2A(c) and all other Court Rules requiring original signatures on filings are relaxed and supplemented so as to permit electronic signatures to be used in all filing processes temporarily authorized to be used during the COVID-19 crisis, including, but not limited to emergent applications submitted by email and hardcopy submissions in dockets without an approved electronic filing system..."