FILED

MAY 3 1 2022

Kelly S. Crawford, Esq. – ID #029141993 RIKER, DANZIG, SCHERER, HYLAND & PERRETTI, LLP Headquarters Plaza One Speedwell Avenue Morristown, NJ 07962 (973) 538-0800 RACHELLE L. HARZ J.S.C.

Attorneys for Defendants,

Ethicon, Inc. and Johnson & Johnson

MARY NORRIS,

SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY LAW DIVISION – BERGEN COUNTY DOCKET NO. BER-L017313-14 MCL

MASTER DOCKET NO. BER-L-011575-14

Plaintiff,

VS.

CIVIL ACTION
In Re Pelvic Mesh/Gynecare Litigation
Case No. 291

ETHICON, INC., ETHICON WOMEN'S HEALTH AND UROLOGY, a Division of Ethicon, Inc., GYNECARE, JOHNSON & JOHNSON,

Defendants.

NOTICE AND REQUEST TO STAY

The parties would like to advise the Court that a settlement in principle has been reached in this case as part of larger global settlement in principle involving multiple plaintiffs.

It will likely take several months before the settlement will be finalized. As a result, the parties jointly request that this case be moved to inactive status and/or that any deadlines and other requirements be stayed, until such time as the settlement can be concluded and the cases can be dismissed with prejudice.

In the event the global settlement is not finalized, the parties will promptly inform Your Honor so that any such case can be restored to the active docket of this Court.

IT IS on this 31 st day of May, 2022, ORDERED as follows:

Any deadlines and proceedings in this case are stayed pending further order of the Court. A copy of this Order shall be entered on the Master Case docket as well as in this matter's docket.

RACHELLE L. HARZ, J.S.C.

THE UNDERSIGNED CONSENT TO THE FORM AND ENTRY OF THIS ORDER:

Christopher M. Placitella COHEN, PLACITELLA & ROTH, P.C.

Attorneys for Plaintiff Mary Norris

By: /s/Jillian Roman Jillian Roman

Dated: May 31, 2022

Kelly S. Crawford RIKER, DANZIG, SCHERER, HYLAND & PERRETTI, LLP Attorneys for Defendants, Ethicon, Inc. and Johnson & Johnson

By: <u>/s/ Kelly S. Crawford¹</u> Kelly S. Crawford

Dated: May 24, 2022

¹ Pursuant to the New Jersey Supreme Court Omnibus Order on Covid-19 issues entered on March 27, 2020, "The provisions of Rule 1:32-2A(c) and all other Court Rules requiring original signatures on filings are relaxed and supplemented so as to permit electronic signatures to be used in all filing processes temporarily authorized to be used during the COVID-19 crisis, including, but not limited to emergent applications submitted by email and hardcopy submissions in dockets without an approved electronic filing system...."