

Kelly S. Crawford, Esq.  
RIKER DANZIG LLP  
Headquarters Plaza  
One Speedwell Avenue  
Morristown, NJ 07962  
(973) 538-0800

FILED

AUG 31 2022

RACHELLE L. HARRIS  
J.S.C.

Attorneys for Defendants,  
Ethicon, Inc. and Johnson & Johnson

IN RE: PELVIC MESH/GYNECARE  
LITIGATION

SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY  
LAW DIVISION – BERGEN COUNTY

MASTER DOCKET NO. BER-L-011575-14

CIVIL ACTION  
In Re Pelvic Mesh/Gynecare Litigation  
Case No. 291

**OMNIBUS NOTICE AND REQUEST TO  
STAY  
(Stark & Stark)**


The parties would like to advise the Court that a settlement in principle has been reached in the cases listed on Schedule A, attached.

It will likely take several months before the settlement will be finalized. As a result, the parties jointly request that the cases listed on Schedule A be moved to inactive status and/or that any deadlines and other requirements be stayed until such time as the settlement can be concluded and the cases can be dismissed with prejudice.

In the event the settlement is not finalized, the parties will promptly inform Your Honor so that any such case can be restored to the active docket of this Court.

IT IS on this 31<sup>st</sup> day of August, 2022, **ORDERED** as follows:

Any deadlines and proceedings in the cases listed on the attached Schedule A, including, but not limited to those established in CMO 74, CMO 84, and CMO 87 are stayed pending further order of the Court. A copy of this Order shall be entered on the Master Case docket as well as in the dockets for the cases listed on the attached Schedule A.



---

RACHELLE L. HARZ, J.S.C.

**THE UNDERSIGNED CONSENT TO THE FORM AND ENTRY OF THIS ORDER:**

Martin P. Schrama  
STARK & STARK  
*Attorneys for Plaintiffs*

Kelly S. Crawford  
RIKER DANZIG LLP  
*Attorneys for Defendants, Ethicon, Inc.  
and Johnson & Johnson*

By: /s/ Martin P. Schrama<sup>1</sup>  
Martin P. Schrama

By: /s/ Kelly S. Crawford<sup>1</sup>  
Kelly S. Crawford

Dated: August 31, 2022

Dated: August 30, 2022

---

<sup>1</sup> Pursuant to the New Jersey Supreme Court Omnibus Order on Covid-19 issues entered on March 27, 2020, " The provisions of Rule 1:32-2A(c) and all other Court Rules requiring original signatures on filings are relaxed and supplemented so as to permit electronic signatures to be used in all filing processes temporarily authorized to be used during the COVID-19 crisis, including, but not limited to emergent applications submitted by email and hardcopy submissions in dockets without an approved electronic filing system... ."

## SCHEDULE A

<b>Docket Number</b>	<b>Matter Name</b>
BER-L-005411-21	Jona Seeley v. Ethicon, Inc., et al.

The following cases had been subject to CMO 84:

<b>Docket Number</b>	<b>Matter Name</b>
BER-L-005179-21	Claudia Paez v. Ethicon, Inc., et al.
BER-L-006114-21	Lucille Lesieur v. Ethicon, Inc., et al.
BER-L-004487-21	Wendy Cressler v. Ethicon, Inc., et al.