Kelly S. Crawford, Esq. RIKER DANZIG LLP Headquarters Plaza One Speedwell Avenue Morristown, NJ 07962 (973) 538-0800

FILED

AUG 3 0 2022

RACHELLE L. HARZ J.S.C.

Attorneys for Defendants, Ethicon, Inc. and Johnson & Johnson

IN RE: PELVIC MESH/GYNECARE LITIGATION

SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY LAW DIVISION – BERGEN COUNTY

MASTER DOCKET NO. BER-L-011575-14

CIVIL ACTION
In Re Pelvic Mesh/Gynecare Litigation
Case No. 291

OMNIBUS NOTICE AND REQUEST TO STAY (Seeger Weiss LLP)

The parties would like to advise the Court that a settlement in principle has been reached in the cases listed on Schedule A, attached.

It will likely take several months before the settlement will be finalized. As a result, the parties jointly request that the cases listed on Schedule A be moved to inactive status and/or that any deadlines and other requirements be stayed until such time as the settlement can be concluded and the cases can be dismissed with prejudice.

In the event the settlement is not finalized, the parties will promptly inform Your Honor so that any such case can be restored to the active docket of this Court.

IT IS on this 30th day of August, 2022, ORDERED as follows:

Any deadlines and proceedings in the cases listed on the attached Schedule A, including, but not limited to those established in CMO 74, CMO 84, and CMO 87 are stayed pending further order of the Court. A copy of this Order shall be entered on the Master Case docket as well as in the dockets for the cases listed on the attached Schedule A.

RACHELLE L. HARZ, J.S.C.

THE UNDERSIGNED CONSENT TO THE FORM AND ENTRY OF THIS ORDER:

Jeffrey S. Grand SEEGER WEISS LLP

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

By: /s/ Jeffrey S. Grand¹
Jeffrey S. Grand

Dated: August 26, 2022

Kelly S. Crawford RIKER DANZIG LLP Attorneys for Defendants, Ethicon, Inc. and Johnson & Johnson

By: <u>/s/ Kelly S. Crawford</u>¹ Kelly S. Crawford

Dated: August 26, 2022

Pursuant to the New Jersey Supreme Court Omnibus Order on Covid-19 issues entered on March 27, 2020, "The provisions of Rule 1:32-2A(c) and all other Court Rules requiring original signatures on filings are relaxed and supplemented so as to permit electronic signatures to be used in all filing processes temporarily authorized to be used during the COVID-19 crisis, including, but not limited to emergent applications submitted by email and hardcopy submissions in dockets without an approved electronic filing system...."

SCHEDULE A

Docket Number	Matter Name
BER-L-004936-16	Mary & Gordon Lindley v. Ethicon, Inc., et al.
BER-L-008167-16	Sherri Winkley v. Ethicon, Inc., et al.
BER-L-015243-14	Denise A. Hawes v. Ethicon, Inc., et al.
BER-L-008610-16	Teresa & Louis Wall v. Ethicon, Inc., et al.

The following two cases had been subject to CMO 74.

Docket Number	Matter Name
BER-L-010515-14	Mary Cox v. Ethicon, Inc., et al.
BER-L-008165-16	Deborah Druker v. Ethicon, Inc., et al.