Kelly S. Crawford, Esq. - NJ Attorney ID #029141993 LED RIKER DANZIG LLP MAR 12 2024 Headquarters Plaza

One Speedwell Avenue Morristown, New Jersey 07962 (973) 538-0800

GREGG A. PADOVANO, J.S.C.

Attorneys for Defendants Ethicon, Inc. and Johnson & Johnson

JACQUELYN VINES,

SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY LAW DIVISION - BERGEN COUNTY DOCKET NO. BER-L-011078-14-MCL

Plaintiffs,

MASTER DOCKET NO. BER-L-011575-14

vs.

CIVIL ACTION In Re Pelvic Mesh/Gynecare Litigation Case No. 291

ETHICON, INC., et al.,

CONSENT ORDER OF DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE

Defendants.

THIS MATTER, having been brought before the Court by Plaintiff Jacquelyn Vines, through her counsel Motley Rice LLC, and Defendants Ethicon, Inc. and Johnson & Johnson, through their counsel Riker Danzig LLP, jointly seeking an Order dismissing all claims cross-claims, and third-party clams between the parties, in this matter, which was activated for discovery pursuant to CMO 105,

			The Gallagher Law
160	Vines, Jacquelyn	BER-L-011078-14	Firm; Williams,
			Cuker, Berezofsky

and for good cause shown;

IT IS ON THIS DOWN day of MANCH

ORDERED, that this matter and all claims, cross-claims, and third-party claims asserted between and among the parties be and are hereby dismissed with prejudice. The parties shall bear their own fees and costs.

Padovano,

## THE UNDERSIGNED CONSENT TO THE FORM AND ENTRY OF THIS ORDER:

Dismissal with Prejudice as to Ethicon and Johnson & Johnson Jacquelyn Vines v. Ethicon, Inc. et al. Docket No. BER-L-011078-14 MCL

MOTLEY RICE LLC 210 Lake Drive East, Suite 101 One Speedwell Avenue Cherry Hill, NJ 08002 Attorneys for Plaintiff, Jacquelyn Vines

RIKER DANZIG LLP Morristown, New Jersey 07962 Attorneys for Defendants, Ethicon, Inc. and Johnson & Johnson

Esther Berezofsky, Esq.

Dated: March 4, 2024

By: /s/ Kelly S. Crawford<sup>1</sup>
Kelly S. Crawford, Esq.

Dated: February 28, 2024

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Pursuant to the New Jersey Supreme Court Omnibus Order on Covid-19 issues entered on March 27, 2020, "The provisions of Rule 1:32-2A(c) and all other Court Rules requiring original signatures on filings are relaxed and supplemented so as to permit electronic signatures to be used in all filing processes temporarily authorized to be used during the COVID-19 crisis, including, but not limited to emergent applications submitted by email and hardcopy submissions in dockets without an approved electronic filing system ... . "