Kelly S. Crawford, Esq. - NJ Attorney ID #029141993 RIKER DANZIG LLP Headquarters Plaza One Speedwell Avenue Morristown, New Jersey 07962 (973) 538-0800

FILED

MAR 12 2024

GREGG A. PADOVANO, J.S.C.

Attorneys for Defendants Ethicon, Inc. and Johnson & Johnson

SHERRY AND TY RIVET,

SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY
LAW DIVISION - BERGEN COUNTY
DOCKET NO. BER-L-013127-14-MCL

Plaintiff,

MASTER DOCKET NO. BER-L-011575-14

vs.

CIVIL ACTION
In Re Pelvic Mesh/Gynecare
Litigation
Case No. 291

ETHICON, INC., et al.,

CONSENT ORDER OF DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE

Defendants.

THIS MATTER, having been brought before the Court by Plaintiff Sherry and Ty Rivet, through their counsel Lynch Daskal Emery, LLP, and Defendants Ethicon, Inc. and Johnson & Johnson, through their counsel Riker Danzig LLP, jointly seeking an Order dismissing all claims cross-claims, and third-party clams between the parties, in this matter, which was activated for discovery pursuant to CMO 105,

25 Rivet, Sherry and Ty BER-L-01312	7-14 Lynch Daskal Emery

and for good cause shown;

IT IS ON THIS 12 day of MARCH

, 2024;

ORDERED, that this matter and all claims, cross-claims, and third-party claims asserted between and among the parties be and The parties shall bear their are hereby dismissed with prejudice. own fees and costs.

Padovano, J.S.C.

THE UNDERSIGNED CONSENT TO THE FORM AND ENTRY OF THIS ORDER:

Dismissal with Prejudice as to all Defendants Sherry and Ty Rivet Smith v. Ethicon, Inc. et al. Docket No. BER-L-013127-14 MCL

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP 137 W 25th Street, 5th Floor New York, New York 10001 Attorneys for Plaintiffs, Sherry and Ty Rivet

RIKER DANZIG LLP One Speedwell Avenue Morristown, New Jersey 07962 Attorneys for Defendants, Ethicon, Inc. and Johnson & Johnson

By: /s/ Bernard Daskal<sup>1</sup> Bernard Daskal, Esq. (NJ Attorney#029471996) By: /s/ Kelly S. Crawford1 Kelly S. Crawford, Esq.

Dated: March 11, 2024

Dated: March 11, 2024

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Pursuant to the New Jersey Supreme Court Omnibus Order on Covid-19 issues entered on March 27, 2020, "The provisions of Rule 1:32-2A(c) and all other Court Rules requiring original signatures on filings are relaxed and supplemented so as to permit electronic signatures to be used in all filing processes temporarily authorized to be used during the COVID-19 crisis, including, but not limited to emergent applications submitted by email and hardcopy submissions in dockets without an approved electronic filing system... ."