Kelly S. Crawford, Esq. RIKER DANZIG LLP Headquarters Plaza One Speedwell Avenue Morristown, New Jersey 07962 (973) 538-0800 (973) 451-8635 OCT 24 2022

RACHELLI, L. HARZ J.S.C.

Attorneys for Defendants
Ethicon, Inc. and Johnson & Johnson

CAROL MCEVOY,

SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY LAW DIVISION - BERGEN COUNTY DOCKET NO. BER-L-2436-20

Plaintiff,

MASTER DOCKET NO. BER-L-011575-14

vs.

CIVIL ACTION
In Re Pelvic Mesh/Gynecare
Litigation
Case No. 291

ETHICON, INC., et al.,

CONSENT ORDER OF DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE

Defendants.

THIS MATTER, having been brought before the Court by Plaintiff Carol McEvoy, through her counsel, and Defendants Ethicon, Inc. and Johnson & Johnson, through their counsel Riker Danzig LLP, and the parties now jointly seeking an Order dismissing all remaining claims cross-claims, and third-party clams between the parties, in this matter, and for good cause shown;

IT IS on this 24th day of October, 2022;

ORDERED the Defendants' Motion to Dismiss for failure to comply with CMO 84 Plaintiff Fact Sheet requirements, filed on

October 18, 2022, is hereby withdrawn as to this Plaintiff; and it is further

Ordered that this matter and all remaining claims, crossclaims, and third-party claims asserted between and among the parties be and are hereby dismissed with prejudice. The parties shall bear their own fees and costs.

Hon. Rachelle L. Harz,

## THE UNDERSIGNED CONSENT TO THE FORM AND ENTRY OF THIS ORDER:

Dismissal with Prejudice as to Ethicon and Johnson & Johnson Carol McEvoy v. Ethicon, Inc. et al. Docket No. BER-L-002436-20

MOTLEY RICE, LLC 210 Lake Drive East Suite 101 Cherry Hill, NJ 08002 Attorneys for Plaintiff Carol McEvoy

RIKER DANZIG LLP Attorneys for Defendants, Ethicon, Inc. and Johnson & Johnson

By: /e/Esther E. Berezofksy1 By: /e/Kelly S. Crawford1 Esther E. Berezofsky, Esq.

Kelly S. Crawford, Esq.

Dated: October 19, 2022

Dated: October 19, 2022

<sup>1</sup> Pursuant to the New Jersey Supreme Court Omnibus Order on Covid-19 issues entered on March 27, 2020, "The provisions of Rule 1:32-2A(c) and all other Court Rules requiring original signatures on filings are relaxed and supplemented so as to permit electronic signatures to be used in all filing processes temporarily authorized to be used during the COVID-19 crisis, including, but not limited to emergent applications submitted by email and hardcopy submissions in dockets without an approved electronic filing system..."