

Kelly S. Crawford, Esq. - NJ Attorney ID #029141993
RIKER DANZIG LLP
Headquarters Plaza
One Speedwell Avenue
Morristown, New Jersey 07962
(973) 538-0800

Attorneys for Defendants
Ethicon, Inc. and Johnson & Johnson

FILED

MAR 11 2024

W. EGG A. PADOVANO, J.S.C.

TAMMY BAKER,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

ETHICON, INC., et al.,

Defendants.

SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY
LAW DIVISION - BERGEN COUNTY
DOCKET NO. BER-L-012143-14-MCL

MASTER DOCKET NO. BER-L-011575-14

CIVIL ACTION
In Re Pelvic Mesh/Gynecare
Litigation
Case No. 291

**CONSENT ORDER OF DISMISSAL
WITH PREJUDICE**


THIS MATTER, having been brought before the Court by Plaintiff Tammy Baker, through her counsel Motley Rice LLC, and Defendants Ethicon, Inc. and Johnson & Johnson, through their counsel Riker Danzig LLP, jointly seeking an Order dismissing all claims cross-claims, and third-party claims between the parties, in this matter, which was activated for discovery pursuant to CMO 105,

16	Baker, Tammy	BER-L-012143-14	The Gallagher Law Firm; Williams, Cuker, Berezofsky
----	--------------	-----------------	---

and for good cause shown;

IT IS ON THIS 17TH day of MARCH, 2024;

ORDERED, that this matter and all claims, cross-claims, and third-party claims asserted between and among the parties be and are hereby dismissed with prejudice. The parties shall bear their own fees and costs.


Hon. Gregg A. Padovano, J.S.C.

THE UNDERSIGNED CONSENT TO THE FORM AND ENTRY OF THIS ORDER:

Dismissal with Prejudice as to Ethicon and Johnson & Johnson
Tammy Baker v. Ethicon, Inc. et al.
Docket No. BER-L-012143-14 MCL

MOTLEY RICE LLC
210 Lake Drive East, Suite 101
Cherry Hill, NJ 08002
*Attorneys for Plaintiff,
Tammy Baker*



By: _____
Esther Berezofsky, Esq.

Dated: 03/04/2024

RIKER DANZIG LLP
One Speedwell Avenue
Morristown, New Jersey 07962
*Attorneys for Defendants,
Ethicon, Inc. and Johnson & Johnson*

By: /s/ Kelly S. Crawford¹
Kelly S. Crawford, Esq.

Dated: February 28, 2024

¹ Pursuant to the New Jersey Supreme Court Omnibus Order on Covid-19 issues entered on March 27, 2020, " The provisions of Rule 1:32-2A(c) and all other Court Rules requiring original signatures on filings are relaxed and supplemented so as to permit electronic signatures to be used in all filing processes temporarily authorized to be used during the COVID-19 crisis, including, but not limited to emergent applications submitted by email and hardcopy submissions in dockets without an approved electronic filing system.. "