

Kelly S. Crawford, Esq. - ID #029141993
RIKER DANZIG LLP
Headquarters Plaza
One Speedwell Avenue
Morristown, New Jersey 07962
(973) 538-0800
(973) 451-8635

Attorneys for Defendants
Ethicon, Inc. and Johnson & Johnson

FILED

JUN 28 2024

GREGG A. PADOVANO, J.S.C.

PAULETTE SEDLOCK and GEORGE
SEDLOCK,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

ETHICON, INC., et al.,

Defendants.

SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY
LAW DIVISION - BERGEN COUNTY
DOCKET NO. BER-L-015172-14

MASTER DOCKET NO. BER-L-011575-14


CIVIL ACTION
In Re Pelvic Mesh/Gynecare
Litigation
Case No. 291

**CONSENT ORDER OF DISMISSAL
WITHOUT PREJUDICE**

THIS MATTER, having been brought before the Court by Plaintiffs Paulette Sedlock and George Sedlock, through their counsel Seeger Weiss LLP, and Defendants Ethicon, Inc., on its own behalf and on behalf of its division, Ethicon Women's Health and Urology (also incorrectly named as "Gynecare"), and Johnson & Johnson, through their counsel Riker Danzig LLP, and the parties now jointly seeking an Order dismissing all claims cross-claims, and third-party claims between the parties, and for good cause shown;

IT IS on this 28th day of June, 2024;

ORDERED, that this matter and all claims, cross-claims, and third-party claims asserted between and among the parties be and are hereby dismissed without prejudice. The parties shall bear their own fees and costs.


Hon. Gregg A. Padovano, J.S.C.

THE UNDERSIGNED CONSENT TO THE FORM AND ENTRY OF THIS ORDER:

Cohen, Placitella & Roth, PC
127 Maple Avenue
Red Bank, NJ 07701

RIKER DANZIG LLP
One Speedwell Avenue
Morristown, NJ 07962

*Attorneys for Plaintiffs
Fruilette Sedlock and George
Sedlock*

*Attorneys for Defendants,
Ethicon, Inc. and Johnson &
Johnson*

By: /s/Christopher Seeger¹
Christopher Seeger, Esq.

By: /s/ Kelly S. Crawford¹
Kelly S. Crawford, Esq.

Dated: June 27, 2024

Dated: June 27, 2024

4254028-0746.v.1

¹ Pursuant to the New Jersey Supreme Court Omnibus Order on Covid-19 issues entered on March 27, 2020, "The provisions of Rule 1:32-2A(c) and all other Court Rules requiring original signatures on filings are relaxed and supplemented so as to permit electronic signatures to be used in all filing processes temporarily authorized to be used during the COVID-19 crisis, including, but not limited to emergent applications submitted by email and hardcopy submissions in dockets without an approved electronic filing system. ."