BER-L-011156-14 03/06/2025 12:51:44 PM Pg 1 of 2 Trans ID: LCV2025540989

Kelly S. Crawford, Esq. RIKER DANZIG LLP Headquarters Plaza One Speedwell Avenue Morristown, NJ 07962 (973) 538-0800 Attorneys for Defendants, Ethicon, Inc. and Johnson & Johnson

PEGGY AND BOBBY SMITH,

vs.

ETHICON, INC., ETHICON WOMEN'S HEALTH AND UROLOGY, a Division of Ethicon, Inc., GYNECARE, JOHNSON & JOHNSON, ET ALS. FILED MAR 07 2025 GREGG A. PADOVANO, J.S.C.

SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY LAW DIVISION – BERGEN COUNTY DOCKET NO. BER-L-011156-14

MASTER DOCKET NO. BER-L-11575-14

CIVIL ACTION In Re Pelvic Mesh/Gynecare Litigation Case No. 291

CONSENT ORDER OF DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE

Defendants.

Plaintiffs,

THIS MATTER, having been opened to the Court by Plaintiffs, through their counsel Cohen, Placitella & Roth, P.C., and Defendants, through their counsel Riker Danzig LLP, seeking an Order dismissing all claims, crossclaims and third party claims between the parties, by consent of all parties, and for good cause shown;

7 day of MANCIS, 2025; IT IS ON THIS

ORDERED that all claims, cross-claims and third party claims between the parties be and are hereby dismissed with prejudice. The parties shall bear their own fees and costs.

ORDERED that copies of this Order shall be served upon all counsel of record and all

GREGGA. PADOVANO, J.S.C.

liaison counsel within five (5) days of the date hereof.

BER-L-011156-14 03/06/2025 12:51:44 PM Pg 2 of 2 Trans ID: +CV2025540989

CONSENTED TO AS TO FORM AND ENTRY:

Cohen, Placitella & Roth, P.C. 127 Maple Avenue Redbank, NJ 07710 Attorney for Plaintiffs, Peggy and Bobby Smith

By: <u>/s/ Rachel Placitella¹</u> Rachel Placitella, Esq.

Dated: March 6, 2025

RIKER DANZIG LLP

Headquarters Plaza One Speedwell Avenue Morristown, NJ 07962 Attorney for Defendants, Ethicon, Inc. and Johnson & Johnson

By: <u>/s/ Kelly S. Crawford</u>¹ Kelly S. Crawford, Esq.

Dated: March 6, 2025

¹ Pursuant to the New Jersey Supreme Court Omnibus Order on Covid-19 issues entered on March 27, 2020, "The provisions of Rule 1:32-2A(c) and all other Court Rules requiring original signatures on filings are relaxed and supplemented so as to permit electronic signatures to be used in all filing processes temporarily authorized to be used during COVID-19 crisis, including, but not limited to emergency applications, submitted by email and hardcopy submissions in dockets without an approved electronic filing system...."