

Kelly S. Crawford – NJ Attorney ID #029141993  
**RIKER DANZIG LLP**  
Headquarters Plaza  
One Speedwell Avenue  
Morristown, NJ 07962-1981  
(973) 538-0800  
*Attorneys for Defendants*

**FILED**

**DEC 11 2023**

GREGG A. PADOVANO, J.S.C.

VERLYN RUTH MCEACHIN,	:	SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY
	:	LAW DIVISION – BERGEN COUNTY
Plaintiff,	:	DOCKET NO. BER-L-012886-14
	:	
v.	:	MASTER DOCKET NO. BER-L-11575-14
	:	
JOHNSON & JOHNSON and ETHICON,	:	In Re Pelvic Mesh/Gynecare Litigation
INC.,	:	Case No. 291
	:	
Defendants.	:	
	:	CIVIL ACTION
	:	
	:	<b>CONSENT ORDER OF DISMISSAL WITHOUT</b>
	:	<b>PREJUDICE</b>

**THIS MATTER**, having been opened to the Court by Plaintiff, through her counsel Aylstock, Witkin, Kreis, & Overholtz PLLC, and Defendants, through their counsel Riker Danzig LLP, seeking an Order dismissing all claims, crossclaims and third party claims between the parties, by consent of all parties, and for good cause shown;

IT IS ON THIS 11<sup>TH</sup> day of December, 2023;

**ORDERED** that all claims, cross-claims and third party claims between the parties be and are hereby dismissed without prejudice. The parties shall bear their own fees and costs.

**ORDERED** that copies of this Order shall be served upon all counsel of record and all liaison counsel within five (5) days of the date hereof.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Gregg A. Padovano, J.S.C.

**CONSENTED TO AS TO FORM AND ENTRY:**

James D. Barger, Esq.  
Aylstock, Witkin, Kreis, & Overholtz  
PLLC  
17 E. Main Street Suite 200  
Pensacola, FL 32502  
*Attorney for Plaintiff,  
Verlyn Ruth McEachin*

Kelly S. Crawford, Esq.  
Riker Danzig LLP  
Headquarters Plaza  
One Speedwell Avenue  
Morristown, NJ 07962  
*Attorney for Defendants,  
Ethicon, Inc. and Johnson & Johnson*

By: /s/ James D. Barger<sup>1</sup>  
James D. Barger

By: /s/ Kelly S. Crawford<sup>1</sup>  
Kelly S. Crawford

Dated: November 27, 2023

Dated: November 20, 2023

---

<sup>1</sup> Pursuant to the New Jersey Supreme Court Omnibus Order on Covid-19 issues entered on March 27, 2020, “ The provisions of Rule 1:32-2A(c) and all other Court Rules requiring original signatures on filings are relaxed and supplemented so as to permit electronic signatures to be used in all filing processes temporarily authorized to be used during the COVID-19 crisis, including, but not limited to emergent applications submitted by email and hardcopy submissions in dockets without an approved electronic filing system... .”