Kelly S. Crawford, Esq. - ID #029141993 RIKER, DANZIG, SCHERER, HYLAND & PERRETTI, LLP Headquarters Plaza One Speedwell Avenue Morristown, New Jersey 07962 (973) 538-0800 (973) 451-8635

FILED

FEB 23 2022

RACHELLE L. HARZ J.S.C.

Attorneys for Defendants Ethicon, Inc. and Johnson & Johnson

IRENE AND JAMES LORD,

SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY LAW DIVISION - BERGEN COUNTY DOCKET NO. BER-L-015417-14

Plaintiffs.

MASTER DOCKET NO. BER-L-011575-14

vs.

CIVIL ACTION
In Re Pelvic Mesh/Gynecare
Litigation
Case No. 291

ETHICON, INC., et al.,

CONSENT ORDER OF DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE

Defendants.

THIS MATTER, having been brought before the Court by Plaintiffs Irene and James Lord, through her counsel, and Defendants Ethicon, Inc., on its own behalf and on behalf of its division, Ethicon Women's Health and Urology (also incorrectly named as "Gynecare"), and Johnson & Johnson, through their counsel Riker, Danzig, Scherer, Hyland & Perretti, LLP, and the parties now jointly seeking an Order dismissing all claims cross-claims, and third-party clams between the parties, and for good cause shown;

IT IS on this 23rd day of February, 2022;

ORDERED, that this matter and all claims, cross-claims, and third-party claims asserted between and among the parties be and are hereby dismissed with prejudice. The parties shall bear their own fees and costs.

Hon. Rachelle L. Harz, J.S.C.

THE UNDERSIGNED CONSENT TO THE FORM AND ENTRY OF THIS ORDER:

Dismissal with Prejudice as to Ethicon and Johnson & Johnson Irene and James Lord v. Ethicon, Inc., et al.

Docket No. BER-L-015417-14

GACOVINO, LAKE & ASSOCIATES 270 West Main Street Sayville, NY 11782 Attorneys for Plaintiffs Irene and James Lord

By: /s/ Richard Zgoda, Jr. 1
. Richard Zgoda, Jr., Esq.

Dated: February 23, 2022

RIKER, DANZIG, SCHERER,
HYLAND & PERRETTI, LLP
One Speedwell Avenue
Morristown, NJ 07962
Attorneys for Defendants,
Ethicon, Inc. and Johnson &
Johnson

By: /s/ Kelly S. Crawford Kelly S. Crawford, Esq.

Dated: February 23, 2022

¹ Pursuant to the New Jersey Supreme Court Omnibus Order on Covid-19 issues entered on March 27, 2020, "The provisions of Rule 1:32-2A(c) and all other Court Rules requiring original signatures on filings are relaxed and supplemented so as to permit electronic signatures to be used in all filing processes temporarily authorized to be used during the COVID-19 crisis, including, but not limited to emergent applications submitted by email and hardcopy submissions in dockets without an approved electronic filing system… ."