Kelly S. Crawford, Esq. - ID #029141993 RIKER, DANZIG, SCHERER, HYLAND & PERRETTI, LLP Headquarters Plaza One Speedwell Avenue Morristown, New Jersey 07962 (973) 538-0800 (973) 451-8635

FILED

OCT 07 2021

RACHELLE L. HARZ J.S.C.

Attorneys for Defendants Ethicon, Inc. and Johnson & Johnson

LELA KING,

SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY LAW DIVISION - BERGEN COUNTY DOCKET NO. BER-L-002485-21-MCL

Plaintiff,

MASTER DOCKET NO. BER-L-011575-14

vs.

CIVIL ACTION In Re Pelvic Mesh/Gynecare Litigation Case No. 291

ETHICON, INC., et als.,

CONSENT ORDER OF DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE

Defendants.

THIS MATTER, having been brought before the Court by Plaintiff Lela King, through her counsel, and Defendants Ethicon, Inc. and Johnson & Johnson, through their counsel Riker, Danzig, Scherer, Hyland & Perretti, LLP, and the parties now jointly seeking an Order dismissing all claims cross-claims, and third-party clams between the parties, in this matter, and for good cause shown;

IT IS on this day of October , 2021;

ORDERED, that this matter and all claims, cross-claims, and third-party claims asserted between and among the parties be and are hereby dismissed without prejudice. The parties shall bear their own fees and costs. This Order does not impact the case pending in the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey, Civil Action No. 3:21-cv-17983

Hon. Rachelle L. Harz, J.S.C.

THE UNDERSIGNED CONSENT TO THE FORM AND ENTRY OF THIS ORDER:

Dismissal with Prejudice as to Ethicon and Johnson & Johnson Lela King v. Ethicon, Inc. et al.
Docket No. BER-L-002485-21 MCL

FELDMAN AND PINTO 30 South 15th Street, 15th Floor Philadelphia, PA 19102 Tel. 215-546-2604 Fax. 215-744-4475 Attorneys for Plaintiff

Lela King

Laura Feldman, Esq.

lfeldman@feldmanpinto.com

Dated: October 6, 2021

RIKER, DANZIG, SCHERER,
HYLAND & PERRETTI, LLP
Attorneys for Defendants,
Ethicon, Inc. and Johnson & Johnson

By: /s/ Kelly S. Crawford¹
Kelly S. Crawford, Esq.

Dated: October 6, 2021

Pursuant to the New Jersey Supreme Court Omnibus Order on Covid-19 issues entered on March 27, 2020, "The provisions of Rule 1:32-2A(c) and all other Court Rules requiring original signatures on filings are relaxed and supplemented so as to permit electronic signatures to be used in all filing processes temporarily authorized to be used during the COVID-19 crisis, including, but not limited to emergent applications submitted by email and hardcopy submissions in dockets without an approved electronic filing system...