

FILED

JAN 03 2022

**RACHELLE L. HARZ
J.S.C.**

Kelly S. Crawford, Esq. - ID #029141993
RIKER, DANZIG, SCHERER, HYLAND & PERRETTI, LLP
Headquarters Plaza
One Speedwell Avenue
Morristown, New Jersey 07962
(973) 538-0800
(973) 451-8635

Attorneys for Defendants
Ethicon, Inc. and Johnson & Johnson

AUDREY HOWARD,

Plaintiff,

vs.

ETHICON, INC., et al.,

Defendants.

SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY
LAW DIVISION - BERGEN COUNTY
DOCKET NO. BER-L-007286-18

MASTER DOCKET NO. BER-L-011575-14

CIVIL ACTION
In Re Pelvic Mesh/Gynecare
Litigation
Case No. 291

**CONSENT ORDER OF DISMISSAL
WITH PREJUDICE**

THIS MATTER, having been brought before the Court by Plaintiff Audrey Howard, through her counsel, and Defendants Ethicon, Inc., on its own behalf and on behalf of its division, Ethicon Women's Health and Urology (also incorrectly named as "Gynecare"), and Johnson & Johnson, through their counsel Riker, Danzig, Scherer, Hyland & Perretti, LLP, and the parties now jointly seeking an Order dismissing all claims cross-claims, and third-party claims between the parties, and for good cause shown;

IT IS on this 3rd day of January, 2021;

ORDERED, that this matter and all claims, cross-claims, and third-party claims asserted between and among the parties be and are hereby dismissed with prejudice. The parties shall bear their own fees and costs.


Hon. Rachelle L. Harz, J.S.C.

THE UNDERSIGNED CONSENT TO THE FORM AND ENTRY OF THIS ORDER:

Dismissal without Prejudice as to Ethicon and Johnson & Johnson
Audrey Howard v. Ethicon, Inc., et al.
Docket No. BER-L-007286-18

NAPOLI SHKOLNIK PLLC
400 Broadhollow Road
Suite 305
Melville, NY 11747
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Audrey Howard

RIKER, DANZIG, SCHERER,
HYLAND & PERRETTI, LLP
One Speedwell Avenue
Morristown, NJ 07962
Attorneys for Defendants,
Ethicon, Inc. and Johnson &
Johnson

By: /s/W. Steven Berman¹
W. Steven Berman, Esq.

By: /s/ Kelly S. Crawford¹
Kelly S. Crawford, Esq.

Dated: December 30, 2021

Dated: December 30, 2021

5327308v1

¹ Pursuant to the New Jersey Supreme Court Omnibus Order on Covid-19 issues entered on March 27, 2020, " The provisions of Rule 1:32-2A(c) and all other Court Rules requiring original signatures on filings are relaxed and supplemented so as to permit electronic signatures to be used in all filing processes temporarily authorized to be used during the COVID-19 crisis, including, but not limited to emergent applications submitted by email and hardcopy submissions in dockets without an approved electronic filing system.. "