Kelly S. Crawford - NJ Attorney ID #029141993 RIKER DANZIG SCHERER HYLAND & PERRETTI LLP Headquarters Plaza One Speedwell Avenue Morristown, New Jersey 07962-1981 (973) 538-0800

FILED

DEC 17 2021

RACHELLE L. HARZ J.S.C.

Attorneys for Defendants Ethicon, Inc. and Johnson & Johnson

MICHELLE WIDAD HELOU,

Plaintiffs,

٧.

ETHICON, INC., et al.,

Defendants.

SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY
LAW DIVISION - BERGEN COUNTY
DOCKET NO. BER-L-014950-14

MASTER CASE NO. BER-L-011575-14

CIVIL ACTION
IN RE: PELVIC MESH/GYNECARE
LITIGATION, Case No. 291 CT

CONSENT ORDER WITHDRAWING MOTION AND DISMISSING PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT WITH PREJUDICE

THIS MATTER, having been brought before the Court by Plaintiff Michelle Widad Helou, through her counsel, and Defendants Ethicon, Inc. and Johnson & Johnson, through their counsel Riker, Danzig, Scherer, Hyland & Perretti, LLP, and the parties now jointly seeking an Order dismissing all claims cross-claims, and third-party clams between the parties, and for good cause shown;

ordered, that Defendants' Motion to Dismiss filed on October

17, 2021 is hereby withdrawn, and

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this matter and all claims, crossclaims, and third-party claims asserted between and among ALL parties be and are hereby dismissed with prejudice. The parties shall bear their own fees and costs.

Hon. Rachelle L. Harz, J.S.C.

THE UNDERSIGNED CONSENT TO THE FORM AND ENTRY OF THIS ORDER:

Dismissal with Prejudice as to Ethicon and Johnson & Johnson Michelle Widad Helou v. Ethicon, Inc. et al.

Docket No. BER-L-014950-14

The Oshman Firm, LLC 190 Christopher Columbus Dr. Suite 5B Jersey City, NJ 07302 Attorneys for Plaintiff Michelle Widad Helou

By: /s/ Theodore Oshman¹
Theodore Oshman, Esq.

Dated: December 17, 2021

RIKER, DANZIG, SCHERER,
HYLAND & PERRETTI, LLP
One Speedwell Avenue
Morristown, NJ 07962
Attorneys for Defendants,
Ethicon, Inc. and Johnson & Johnson

By: /s/ Kelly S. Crawford¹
Kelly S. Crawford, Esq.

Dated: December 17, 2021

¹ Pursuant to the New Jersey Supreme Court Omnibus Order on Covid-19 issues entered on March 27, 2020, "The provisions of Rule 1:32-2A(c) and all other Court Rules requiring original signatures on filings are relaxed and supplemented so as to permit electronic signatures to be used in all filing processes temporarily authorized to be used during the COVID-19 crisis, including, but not limited to emergent applications submitted by email and hardcopy submissions in dockets without an approved electronic filing system..."