

Kelly S. Crawford, Esq. - ID #029141993
RIKER, DANZIG, SCHERER, HYLAND & PERRETTI, LLP
Headquarters Plaza
One Speedwell Avenue
Morristown, New Jersey 07962
(973) 538-0800
(973) 451-8635

FILED

JAN 25 2022

**RACHELLE L. HARZ
J.S.G.**

Attorneys for Defendants
Ethicon, Inc. and Johnson & Johnson

DIANA DIAZ

Plaintiff,

vs.

ETHICON, INC., ETHICON WOMEN'S
HEALTH AND UROLOGY, a Division of
Ethicon, Inc., GYNECARE, JOHNSON &
JOHNSON,

Defendants.

SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY
LAW DIVISION - BERGEN COUNTY
DOCKET NO. BER-L-006632-20 MCL

MASTER DOCKET NO. BER-L-011575-14

CIVIL ACTION
In Re Pelvic Mesh/Gynecare
Litigation
Case No. 291

**CONSENT ORDER OF DISMISSAL
WITH PREJUDICE**

THIS MATTER, having been brought before the Court by Plaintiff Diana Diaz, through her counsel, and Defendants Ethicon, Inc. and Johnson & Johnson, through their counsel Riker, Danzig, Scherer, Hyland & Perretti, LLP, and the parties now jointly seeking an Order dismissing all claims cross-claims, and third-party claims between the parties, and for good cause shown;

IT IS on this 25th day of January, 2022;

ORDERED, that this matter and all claims, cross-claims, and third-party claims asserted between and among the parties be and

are hereby dismissed with prejudice. The parties shall bear their own fees and costs.



Hon. Rachelle L. Harz, J.S.C.

THE UNDERSIGNED CONSENT TO THE FORM AND ENTRY OF THIS ORDER:

Dismissal with Prejudice as to Ethicon and Johnson & Johnson
Diana Diaz v. Ethicon, Inc. et al.
Docket No. BER-L-006632-20

Karen Beyea-Schroeder
Schroeder Law Office
P.O. Box 131747
The Woodlands, TX 77393

*Attorneys for Plaintiff
Diana Diaz*

Kelly S. Crawford
RIKER, DANZIG, SCHERER,
HYLAND & PERRETTI, LLP
One Speedwell Avenue
Morristown, NJ 07962
*Attorneys for Defendants,
Ethicon, Inc. and Johnson &
Johnson*

By: /s/ Karen Beyea-Schroeder¹
Karen Beyea-Schroeder, Esq.

By: /s/ Kelly S. Crawford¹
Kelly S. Crawford, Esq.

Dated: January 24, 2022

Dated: January 24, 2022

¹ Pursuant to the New Jersey Supreme Court Omnibus Order on Covid-19 issues entered on March 27, 2020, " The provisions of Rule 1:32-2A(c) and all other Court Rules requiring original signatures on filings are relaxed and supplemented so as to permit electronic signatures to be used in all filing processes temporarily authorized to be used during the COVID-19 crisis, including, but not limited to emergent applications submitted by email and hardcopy submissions in dockets without an approved electronic filing system... ."