Kelly S. Crawford, Esq. - ID #029141993
RIKER, DANZIG, SCHERER, HYLAND & PERRETTI, LLP
Headquarters Plaza
One Speedwell Avenue
Morristown, New Jersey 07962
(973) 538-0800
(973) 451-8635 FAX
Attorneys for Defendants,
Ethicon, Inc. and Johnson & Johnson

FILED

JUN 20 2022

RACHELLE L. HARZ J.S.C.

NADINE DEMALLEVILLE,

Plaintiff,

v.

ETHICON, INC., ETHICON WOMEN'S HEALTH AND UROLGY, a Division of Ethicon, Inc., GYNECARE, JOHNSON & JOHNSON, BOSTON SCIENTIFIC CORPORATION (d/b/a/ Mansfield Scientific, Inc. and Microvasive, Inc.), et als,

Defendants.

SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY
LAW DIVISION - BERGEN COUNTY
DOCKET NO. BER-L-010318-14 MCL

MASTER DOCKET NO. BER-L-011575-14

In Re Pelvic Mesh/Gynecare Litigation, Case No. 291

CIVIL ACTION

CONSENT ORDER OF DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE

THIS MATTER, having been brought before the Court by the parties to this action, Plaintiff Nadine Demalleville and Defendants Ethicon, Inc., Johnson & Johnson, and BOSTON SCIENTIFIC CORPORATION, and, for good cause shown;

IT IS on this 20th day of <u>June</u>, 2022;

ORDERED, that this matter and all claims, cross-claims, and thirdparty claims asserted against all named defendants be and are hereby dismissed with prejudice. The parties shall bear their own fees and costs.

Hon. Rachelle Lea Harz, J.S.C.

THE UNDERSIGNED CONSENT TO THE FORM AND ENTRY OF THIS ORDER:

Dismissal with Prejudice
Nadine DeMalleville v. Ethicon, Inc. et al.
Docket No. BER-L-010308-14 MCL

LOPEZ McHUGH LLP Attorneys for Plaintiff Nadine DeMalleville RIKER, DANZIG, SCHERER,
HYLAND & PERRETTI, LLP
Attorneys for Defendants
Ethicon, Inc. and Johnson &
Johnson

By: /s/ Michael S. Katz Michael S. Katz, Esq. By: /s/ Kelly S. Crawford¹
Kelly S. Crawford, Esq.

Dated: June 16, 2022

Dated: June 16, 2022

TANENBAUM KEALE, LLP
Three Gateway Center, Ste 1301
100 Mulberry Street
Newark, NJ 07102
Attorneys for Defendant,
Boston Scientific Corporation

By: /s/ Marina G. McGuire
Marina G. McGuire, Esq.

Dated: June 16, 2022

¹ Pursuant to the New Jersey Supreme Court Omnibus Order on Covid-19 issues entered on March 27, 2020, "The provisions of Rule 1:32-2A(c) and all other Court Rules requiring original signatures on filings are relaxed and supplemented so as to permit electronic signatures to be used in all filing processes temporarily authorized to be used during the COVID-19 crisis, including, but not limited to emergent applications submitted by email and hardcopy submissions in dockets without an approved electronic filing system..."