Kelly S. Crawford, Esq. - ID #029141993 RIKER, DANZIG, SCHERER, HYLAND & PERRETTI, LLP Headquarters Plaza One Speedwell Avenue Morristown, New Jersey 07962 (973) 538-0800 (973) 451-8635

FILED

APR 2 8 2022 RACHELLE L. HARZ

J.S.C.

Attorneys for Defendants Ethicon, Inc. and Johnson & Johnson

CHRYSTAL WATKINS,

SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY LAW DIVISION - BERGEN COUNTY DOCKET NO. BER-L-010310-15

Plaintiff,

MASTER DOCKET NO. BER-L-011575-14

vs.

CIVIL ACTION
In Re Pelvic Mesh/Gynecare
Litigation
Case No. 291

ETHICON, INC., et als.,

CONSENT ORDER OF DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE

Defendants.

THIS MATTER, having been brought before the Court by Plaintiff Chrystal Watkins, through her counsel, and Defendants Ethicon, Inc. and Johnson & Johnson, through their counsel Riker, Danzig, Scherer, Hyland & Perretti, LLP, and the parties now jointly seeking an Order dismissing all remaining claims cross-claims, and third-party clams between the parties, in this matter, and for good cause shown;

IT IS on this $\frac{28}{100}$ day of $\frac{1}{100}$, 2021;

ORDERED, that this matter and all remaining claims, crossclaims, and third-party claims asserted between and among the parties be and are hereby dismissed with prejudice. The parties shall bear their own fees and costs.

Hon. Rachelle L. Harz, J.S.C.

THE UNDERSIGNED CONSENT TO THE FORM AND ENTRY OF THIS ORDER:

Dismissal without Prejudice as to Ethicon and Johnson & Johnson Chrystal Watkins v. Ethicon, Inc. et al. Docket No. BER-L-010310-15

Law Offices of Jan Meyer & Associates, PC 1029 Teaneck Road Second Floor Teaneck, New Jersey 10016 Attorneys for Plaintiff Chrystal Watkins

RIKER, DANZIG, SCHERER,
HYLAND & PERRETTI, LLP
Attorneys for Defendants,
Ethicon, Inc. and Johnson & Johnson

By: $\frac{/e/\ Jan\ Meyer^1}{\text{Jan\ Meyer, Esq.}}$

Dated: April 27, 2022

By: <u>/e/Kelly S. Crawford</u>¹
Kelly S. Crawford, Esq.

Dated: April 28, 2022

¹ Pursuant to the New Jersey Supreme Court Omnibus Order on Covid-19 issues entered on March 27, 2020, "The provisions of Rule 1:32-2A(c) and all other Court Rules requiring original signatures on filings are relaxed and supplemented so as to permit electronic signatures to be used in all filing processes temporarily authorized to be used during the COVID-19 crisis, including, but not limited to emergent applications submitted by email and hardcopy submissions in dockets without an approved electronic filing system...