## FILED

OCT 29 2022

RACHELLE L. HARZ J.S.Q.

Kelly S. Crawford, Esq. RIKER DANZIG LLP Headquarters Plaza One Speedwell Avenue Morristown, New Jersey 07962 (973) 538-0800 (973) 451-8635

Attorneys for Defendants Ethicon, Inc. and Johnson & Johnson

PHYLLIS RUBINI and GIOVANNI RUBINI,

Plaintiffs,

٧s.

ETHICON, INC., et al.,

SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY LAW DIVISION - BERGEN COUNTY DOCKET NO. BER-L-4871-15

MASTER DOCKET NO. BER-L-011575-14

CIVIL ACTION
In Re Pelvic Mesh/Gynecare
Litigation
Case No. 291

CONSENT ORDER OF DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE

Defendants.

THIS MATTER, having been brought before the Court by Plaintiffs Phyllis Rubini and Giovanni Rubini, through their counsel, and Defendants Ethicon, Inc. and Johnson & Johnson, through their counsel Riker Danzig LLP, and the parties now jointly seeking an Order dismissing all remaining claims cross-claims, and third-party clams between the parties, in this matter, and for good cause shown;

IT IS on this 318 day of October , 2022;

ORDERED the Defendants' Motion to Dismiss for failure to comply with CMO 84 Plaintiff Fact Sheet requirements, filed on October 18, 2022, is hereby withdrawn as to this Plaintiff; and it is further

Ordered that this matter and all remaining claims, crossclaims, and third-party claims asserted between and among the parties be and are hereby dismissed with prejudice. The parties shall bear their own fees and costs.

Hon. Rachelle L. Harz, J.S.C.

## THE UNDERSIGNED CONSENT TO THE FORM AND ENTRY OF THIS ORDER:

Dismissal with Prejudice as to Ethicon and Johnson & Johnson Phyllis Rubini and Giovanni Rubini v. Ethicon, Inc. et al. Docket No. BER-L-4871-15

Pogust Goodhead LLC Attorneys for Plaintiffs Phyllis Rubini and Giovanni Rubini

By: /s/ Michael G. Daly<sup>1</sup>
Michael G. Daly, Esq.

Dated: October 28, 2022

RIKER DANZIG LLP
Attorneys for Defendants,
Ethicon, Inc. and Johnson &
Johnson

By: /s/Kelly S. Crawford<sup>1</sup>
Kelly S. Crawford, Esq.

Dated: October 28, 2022

Pursuant to the New Jersey Supreme Court Omnibus Order on Covid-19 issues entered on March 27, 2020, "The provisions of Rule 1:32-2A(c) and all other Court Rules requiring original signatures on filings are relaxed and supplemented so as to permit electronic signatures to be used in all filing processes temporarily authorized to be used during the COVID-19 crisis, including, but not limited to emergent applications submitted by email and hardcopy submissions in dockets without an approved electronic filing system..."