

Kelly S. Crawford – NJ Attorney ID #029141993  
**RIKER DANZIG LLP**  
Headquarters Plaza  
One Speedwell Avenue  
Morristown, NJ 07962-1981  
(973) 538-0800  
*Attorneys for Defendants*  
*Ethicon, Inc. and Johnson & Johnson*

**FILED**  
JUN 18 2024  
GREGG A. PADOVANO, J.S.C.

---

KIMBERLY GRAINGER and  
CHARLES RHODES,  
Plaintiff,  
  
v.  
  
ETHICON, INC., et al.  
  
Defendants.

---

SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY  
LAW DIVISION – BERGEN COUNTY  
DOCKET NO. BER-L-01780-21 MCL.  
  
MASTER DOCKET NO. BER-L-11575-14  
  
In Re Pelvic Mesh/Gynecare Litigation  
Case No. 291  
  
CIVIL ACTION  
  
**CONSENT ORDER OF DISMISSAL WITH  
PREJUDICE**

**THIS MATTER**, having been opened to the Court by Plaintiffs, through their Counsel Zinn Law, LLC, and Defendants, through their counsel Riker Danzig LLP, seeking an Order dismissing all claims, crossclaims and third party claims between the parties, by consent of all parties, and for good cause shown;

**IT IS ON THIS** 18<sup>th</sup> day of JUNE, 2024;

**ORDERED** that all claims, cross-claims and third party claims between the parties be and are hereby dismissed with prejudice. The parties shall bear their own fees and costs.

**ORDERED** that copies of this Order shall be served upon all counsel of record and all liaison counsel within five (5) days of the date hereof.



---

Hon. Gregg A. Padovano, J.S.C.

**CONSENTED TO AS TO FORM AND ENTRY:**

Sharon J. Zinns, Esq.  
Zinns Law, LLC  
1800 Peachtree St. NW, Suite 370  
Atlanta, GA 30309

*Attorney for Plaintiffs,  
Kimberly Grainger and Charles Rhodes*

Kelly S. Crawford, Esq.  
Riker Danzig LLP  
Headquarters Plaza  
One Speedwell Avenue  
Morristown, NJ 07962

*Attorneys for Defendants,  
Ethicon, Inc. and Johnson & Johnson*

By: /s/ Sharon J. Zinns<sup>1</sup>  
Sharon J. Zinns

By: /s/ Kelly S. Crawford<sup>1</sup>  
Kelly S. Crawford

Dated: June 13, 2024

Dated: May 28, 2024

---

<sup>1</sup> Pursuant to the New Jersey Supreme Court Omnibus Order on Covid-19 issues entered on March 27, 2020, “ The provisions of Rule 1:32-2A(c) and all other Court Rules requiring original signatures on filings are relaxed and supplemented so as to permit electronic signatures to be used in all filing processes temporarily authorized to be used during the COVID-19 crisis, including, but not limited to emergent applications submitted by email and hardcopy submissions in dockets without an approved electronic filing system... ”