Ellen Relkin (Attorney ID # 006691985)
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
A New York Professional Corporation
220 Lake Drive East, Suite 210
Cherry Hill, New Jersey 08002
P: 856-755-1115
F: 856-755-1995
erelkin@weitzlux.com



Attorneys for Maureen Fallon

Maureen Fallon,

Plaintiff,

v.

JOHNSON & JOHNSON, ETHICON INC., GYNECARE WORLDWIDE, ETHICON WOMAN'S HEALTH AND UROLOGY AND JOHN DOE CORPORATIONS 1-10 (fictitious)

Defendants.

SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY LAW DIVISION: BERGEN COUNTY CASE NO: 291 MASTER CASE NO: BER-L-11575-14

DOCKET NO. BER-L-011527-14

CIVIL ACTION

CONSENT ORDER OF DISMISSAL WITHOUT PREJUDICE

THIS MATTER, having been brought before the Court by Plaintiff Maureen Fallon, through her counsel Weitz & Luxenberg, and Defendants Johnson & Johnson, Ethicon, Inc., Gynecare Worldwide, and Ethicon Woman's Health and Urology, through their counsel Riker, Danzig, Scherer, Hyland & Perretti, LLP, and the parties now jointly seeking an Order dismissing all claims cross-claims, and third-party clams between the parties, and for good cause shown;

IT IS on this 29th day of March, 2021;

ORDERED, that this matter and all claims, cross-claims, and third-party claims asserted between and among the parties be and are hereby dismissed without prejudice.

The parties shall bear their own fees and costs.

Hon. Rachelle L. Harz, J.S.C.

THE UNDERSIGNED CONSENT TO THE FORM AND ENTRY OF THIS ORDER:

Dismissal without Prejudice as to Ethicon, Inc. et al. Maureen Fallon v. Ethicon, Inc. et al. Docket No. BER-L-011527-14

WEITZ & LUXENBERG

220 Lake Drive East, Suite 210 Cherry Hill, New Jersey 08002

P: 856-755-1115 F: 856-755-1995

Attorneys for Plaintiff Maureen Fallon

By: /s/ Ellen Relkin

Ellen Relkin

Dated: March 19, 2021

RIKER, DANZIG, SCHERER, HYLAND & PERRETTI LLP

One Speedwell Avenue Morristown, New Jersey 07962-1981

P: 973-538-0800 F: 973-538-1984

Attorneys for Johnson & Johnson, Ethicon Inc., Gynecare Worldwide, and Ethicon Woman's Health and Urology

By: Killy S. Chawford

Kelly Crawford

¹ Pursuant to the New Jersey Supreme Court Omnibus Order on Covid-19 issues entered on March 27, 2020, "The provisions of Rule 1:32-2A(c) and all other Court Rules requiring original signatures on filings are relaxed and supplemented so as to permit electronic signatures to be used in all filing processes temporarily authorized to be used during the COVID-19 crisis, including, but not limited to emergent applications submitted by email and hardcopy submissions in dockets without an approved electronic filing system..."