Kelly S. Crawford, Esq. - ID #029141993 RIKER, DANZIG, SCHERER, HYLAND & PERRETTI, LLP Headquarters Plaza One Speedwell Avenue Morristown, New Jersey 07962 (973) 538-0800 (973) 451-8635

FILED

DEC 03 2021

RACHELLE L. HARZ J.S.C.

Attorneys for Defendants Ethicon, Inc. and Johnson & Johnson

LIDUVINA APARICIO CASERTA

SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY LAW DIVISION - BERGEN COUNTY DOCKET NO. BER-L-000818-18

Plaintiff,

MASTER DOCKET NO. BER-L-011575-14

vs.

CIVIL ACTION
In Re Pelvic Mesh/Gynecare
Litigation
Case No. 291

ETHICON, INC., et als.,

CONSENT ORDER OF DISMISSAL WITHOUT PREJUDICE

Defendants.

THIS MATTER, having been brought before the Court by Plaintiffs Liduvina Aparicio Caserta, through her counsel, and Defendants Ethicon, Inc. and Johnson & Johnson, through their counsel Riker, Danzig, Scherer, Hyland & Perretti, LLP, and the parties now jointly seeking an Order dismissing all remaining claims cross-claims, and third-party clams between the parties, in this matter, and for good cause shown;

IT IS on this 3rd day of Occumper , 2021;

ORDERED, that this matter and all remaining claims, crossclaims, and third-party claims asserted between and among the parties be and are hereby dismissed without prejudice. The parties shall bear their own fees and costs.

Halle X Hare

Hon. Rachelle L. Harz, J.S.C.

THE UNDERSIGNED CONSENT TO THE FORM AND ENTRY OF THIS ORDER:

Dismissal without Prejudice as to Ethicon and Johnson & Johnson Liduvina Aparicio Caserta v. Ethicon, Inc. et al.

Docket No. BER-L-000818-18

Napoli Shkolnik, PLLC 400 Broadhollow Road, Suite 305 Melville, NY 11747 Attorneys for Plaintiff Liduvina Aparicio Caserta RIKER, DANZIG, SCHERER,

HYLAND & PERRETTI, LLP

Attorneys for Defendants,

Ethicon, Inc. and Johnson & Johnson

By: <u>/e/W. Steven Berman¹</u>
W. Steven Berman, Esq.

By: <u>/e/Kelly S. Crawford</u>¹
Kelly S. Crawford, Esq.

Dated: December 2, 2021

Dated: December 2, 2021

¹ Pursuant to the New Jersey Supreme Court Omnibus Order on Covid-19 issues entered on March 27, 2020, "The provisions of Rule 1:32-2A(c) and all other Court Rules requiring original signatures on filings are relaxed and supplemented so as to permit electronic signatures to be used in all filing processes temporarily authorized to be used during the COVID-19 crisis, including, but not limited to emergent applications submitted by email and hardcopy submissions in dockets without an approved electronic filing system..."