FILED

Kelly S. Crawford, Esq. - ID #029141993 RIKER, DANZIG, SCHERER, HYLAND & PERRETTI, LLP Headquarters Plaza One Speedwell Avenue Morristown, New Jersey 07962 (973) 538-0800

OCT 04 2021 RACHELLE L. HARZ

J.S.C.

Attorneys for Defendants Ethicon, Inc. and Johnson & Johnson

BRANDY BOEN,

(973) 451-8635

SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY LAW DIVISION - BERGEN COUNTY DOCKET NO. BER-L-012934-14-MCL

Plaintiffs,

MASTER DOCKET NO. BER-L-011575-14

vs.

CIVIL ACTION In Re Pelvic Mesh/Gynecare Litigation Case No. 291

ETHICON, INC., et als.,

CONSENT ORDER OF DISMISSAL WITHOUT PREJUDICE

Defendants.

THIS MATTER, having been brought before the Court by Plaintiff Brandy Boen, through her counsel, and Defendants Ethicon, Inc. and Johnson & Johnson, through their counsel Riker, Danzig, Scherer, Hyland & Perretti, LLP, and the parties now jointly seeking an Order dismissing all claims cross-claims, and third-party clams between the parties, in this matter, which was selected as part of the TVT-O discovery pool in CMO 74,

2	Boen, Brandy v.		Hovde Dassow /	TVT-O
	Ethicon	BER-L-012934-14	The Lanier	
	(22)		Firm	

and for good cause shown;

4th day of Uctober

ORDERED, that this matter and all claims, cross-claims, and third-party claims asserted between and among the parties be and are hereby dismissed without prejudice. The parties shall bear their own fees and costs.

THE UNDERSIGNED CONSENT TO THE FORM AND ENTRY OF THIS ORDER:

Dismissal without Prejudice as to Ethicon and Johnson & Johnson Brandy Boen v. Ethicon, Inc. et al. Docket No. BER-L-012934-14 MCL

THE LANIER LAW FIRM, PLLC 126 E. 56th Street, 6th Floor New York, NY 10022 Attorneys for Plaintiff Brandy Boen

By: /s/ Richard D. Meadow By: /s/ Kelly S. Crawford¹ Richard D. Meadow, Esq.

Dated: October 1, 2021

RIKER, DANZIG, SCHERER, HYLAND & PERRETTI, LLP Attorneys for Defendants, Ethicon, Inc. and Johnson & Johnson

Kelly S. Crawford, Esq.

Dated: October 1, 2021

¹ Pursuant to the New Jersey Supreme Court Omnibus Order on Covid-19 issues entered on March 27, 2020, "The provisions of Rule 1:32-2A(c) and all other Court Rules requiring original signatures on filings are relaxed and supplemented so as to permit electronic signatures to be used in all filing processes temporarily authorized to be used during the COVID-19 crisis, including, but not limited to emergent applications submitted by email and hardcopy submissions in dockets without an approved electronic filing system... . "