

FILED  
JUN 28 2024  
GREGG A. PADOVANO, J.S.C.

FILED  
JUN 28 2024  
GREGG A. PADOVANO, J.S.C.

James D. Barger  
Aylstock, Witkin, Kreis & Overholtz, PLLC  
17 East Main Street, Suite 200  
Pensacola, FL 32502  
(850) 202-1010  
Attorney for Plaintiff

MAUREEN C. BOELTZ,

Plaintiff

vs.

ETHICON, INC., ETHICON WOMEN'S  
HEALTH AND UROLOGY, a Division of  
Ethicon, Inc., GYNECARE, JOHNSON &  
JOHNSON, AND JOHN DOES 1-20,

Defendants.

SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY  
LAW DIVISION- BERGEN COUNTY  
DOCKET NO. BER-L-001991-16

MASTER DOCKET NO. BER-L-11575-14

CIVIL ACTION  
In Re Pelvic Mesh/Gynecare Litigation,  
Case No. 291 CT


CONSENT ORDER OF DISMISSAL  
WITH PREJUDICE

THIS MATTER, having been opened to the Court by Plaintiff, through her counsel Aylstock, Witkin, Kreis & Overholtz, PLLC, and Defendants, through their counsel Riker Danzig LLP, seeking an Order dismissing all claims, crossclaims and third party claims between the parties, by consent of all parties, and for good cause shown;

IT IS ON THIS 28<sup>TH</sup> day of JUNE, 2024;

ORDERED that all claims, cross-claims and third party claims between the parties be and are hereby dismissed with prejudice. The parties shall bear their own fees and costs.

**ORDERED** that copies of this Order shall be served upon all counsel of record and all liaison counsel within five (5) days of the date hereof.



Hon. Gregg A. Padovano, J.S.C.

**CONSENTED TO AS TO FORM AND ENTRY:**

/s/ James D. Barger<sup>1</sup>  
James D. Barger (Bar # 036922010)  
Aylstock, Witkin, Kreis  
& Overholtz, PLLC  
17 East Main Street, Suite 200  
Pensacola, FL 32502

*Counsel for Plaintiff*  
*Maureen C. Boeltz*

/s/ Kelly S. Crawford<sup>1</sup>  
Kelly S. Crawford, Esq.  
Riker Danzig LLP  
Headquarters Plaza  
One Speedwell Avenue  
Morristown, NJ 07962

*Counsel for Defendants*  
*Ethicon Inc., & Johnson & Johnson*

Dated: June 10, 2024

<sup>1</sup> Pursuant to the New Jersey Supreme Court Omnibus Order on Covid-19 issues entered on March 27, 2020, "The provisions of Rule 1:32-2A(c) and all other Court Rules requiring original signatures on filings are relaxed and supplemented so as to permit electronic signatures to be used in all filing processes temporarily authorized to be used during the COVID-19 crisis, including, but not limited to emergent applications submitted by email and hardcopy submissions in dockets without an approved electronic filing system...."