

DRINKER BIDDLE & REATH LLP
A Delaware Limited Liability Partnership
500 Campus Drive
Florham Park, New Jersey 07932-1047
(973) 549-7000
Attorneys for Defendants
JOHNSON & JOHNSON, JOHNSON & JOHNSON
PHARMACEUTICAL RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT, L.L.C.,
and ORTHO-McNEIL-JANSSEN PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.

**RECEIVED and
FILED**

MAR 04 2011

**ATLANTIC COUNTY
LAW DIVISION**

SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY
LAW DIVISION: ATLANTIC COUNTY

Case No. 286

IN RE: LEVAQUIN LITIGATION

**ORDER TO ADMIT
JOHN DAMES, ESQ.
*PRO HAC VICE***

THIS MATTER being opened to the Court by Daniel B. Carroll, Esq., a New Jersey attorney and one of the attorneys of record for Defendants Johnson & Johnson, Johnson & Johnson Pharmaceutical Research & Development, L.L.C., and Ortho-McNeil-Janssen Pharmaceuticals, Inc., to permit John Dames, Esq., an attorney admitted to the practice of law in the State of Illinois, to participate with other counsel for Defendants Johnson & Johnson, Johnson & Johnson Pharmaceutical Research & Development, L.L.C., and Ortho-McNeil-Janssen Pharmaceuticals, Inc., in all phases of the trial, and it appearing that (1) John Dames, Esq., is a licensed attorney in good standing in the State of Illinois; (2) plaintiffs' complaints raise products liability claims; (3) the law practice of Charles Q. Socha, Esq. focuses on the defense of products liability cases; (4) Defendants Johnson & Johnson, Johnson & Johnson Pharmaceutical Research & Development, L.L.C., and Ortho-McNeil-Janssen Pharmaceuticals, Inc. have requested that Charles Q. Socha, Esq. represent them in this matter; and the court

having considered the respective certifications of Daniel B. Carroll, Esq. Charles Q. Socha, Esq., submitted in support of the motion, and for good cause having been shown:

IT IS this 4th day of March, 2011 ORDERED that John Dames, Esq. shall be and hereby is admitted *pro hac vice* and is authorized to appear and participate with other counsel for Defendants Johnson & Johnson, Johnson & Johnson Pharmaceutical Research & Development, L.L.C., and Ortho-McNeil-Janssen Pharmaceuticals, Inc., in all phases of the trial, subject to the following conditions:


1. John Dames, Esq. shall abide by the New Jersey Court Rules including all disciplinary rules, R. 1:20-1 and R. 1:28-2.
2. John Dames, Esq. shall, and hereby does, consent to the appointment of the Clerk of the Supreme Court as agent upon whom service of process may be made for all actions against him or Tucker Ellis & West LLP that may arise out of his participation in this matter.
3. John Dames, Esq. shall immediately notify the Court of any matter affecting his standing at the Bar of any other Jurisdiction.
4. John Dames, Esq. shall have all pleadings, briefs and other papers filed with the court signed by an attorney of record authorized to practice in New Jersey, who shall be held responsible for them, the conduct of the litigation and the attorney admitted herein.
5. John Dames, Esq. cannot be designated as trial counsel.
6. No discovery, motion, trial or any other proceeding delay shall occur or be requested by reason of the inability of John Dames, Esq. to be in attendance.
7. John Dames, Esq. must, make an annual payment to the Ethics Financial Committee and to the New Jersey Lawyers Fund for Client Protection and shall, within ten (10)

days, pay the fees required by R. 1:20-1(b), R. 1:28-2 and R. 1:28B-1(e) and submit an affidavit of compliance.

8. The *pro hac vice* admission of John Dames, Esq. will automatically terminate for failure to make the initial and any annual payment required by R. 1:20-1(b), R. 1:28-2 and R. 1:28B-1(e).

9. Non-compliance with any of the terms of this Order shall constitute grounds for removal.

10. A true copy of this Order shall be served upon all counsel of record within seven (7) days of the date hereof.



HON. CAROL E. HIGBEE, P.J.Cv.

This Motion was:

Opposed

Unopposed

SCHEDULE A

SCHEDULE A

Robert George Beare, et al. v. Johnson & Johnson, et al.	L-196-10
Michael Gilmore et al. v. Johnson & Johnson, et al.	L-2672-09
Robert Hardy v. Johnson & Johnson, et al.	L-1230-09
Chantal Mastroianni v. Johnson & Johnson, et al.	L-1647-10
Robert Steilen, et al. v. Johnson & Johnson, et al.	L-4237-09
Victoria Ann Warnet, et al. v. Johnson & Johnson, et al.	L-3795-09