Morris S. Dweck, Esq. RHEINGOLD, VALET, RHEINGOLD, RUFFO & GIUFFRA, LLP 113 East 37th Street New York, NY 10016 Tel: (212) 684-1880

FILED SEP 0 2 2016

JUDGE JESSYCA R MINER

 FF •	SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY
:	LAW DIVISION, MIDDLESEX COUNTY
: : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : :	FOSAMAX LITIGATION DOCKET NO.: MID-L-996302-12 CIVIL ACTION CASE NO. 282
: : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : :	ORDER ADMITTING DAVID B. RHEINGOLD PRO HAC VICE
	EE,: : : : : : : : : : : : : : :

1. David B. Rheingold shall abide by the New Jersey Court Rules including all disciplinary rules,

R. 1:20-1 and R. 1:28-2.

2. David B. Rheingold shall, and hereby does, consent to the appointment of the Clerk of the

Supreme Court as his/her agent upon whom service of process may be made for all actions

against that may arise out of his/her participation in the matter.

3. David B. Rheingold shall immediately notify the court of any matter affecting her standing at

the Bar of any other jurisdiction.

4. David B. Rheingold shall have all pleadings, briefs and other papers filed with the court

signed by an attorney of record authorized to practice in New Jersey, who shall be held

responsible for them, the conduct of the litigation and the attorney admitted herein.

5. David B. Rheingold cannot be designated as trial counsel.

6. No discovery, motion, trial or any other proceeding delay shall occur or be requested by

reason of the inability of David B. Rheingold to be in attendance.

7. David B. Rheingold must, within ten (10) days, pay the fees required by R. 1:20-1(b) and R.

1:28-2 and submit an affidavit of compliance.

8. Pro hac vice admission will automatically terminate for failure to make the initial and any

annual payment required by R. 1:20-1(b) and R. 1:28-2.

9. Non-compliance with any of the terms of this order shall constitute grounds for removal.

10. A copy of this order shall be served on all parties within seven (7) days of the date hereof.

UNOPPOSED

ESSICA R. MAYER, J.S.C.

J.S.C.

Having reviewed the above motion, I find it to be meritorious on its face and is unopposed. Pursuant to B. 1:6-2, it therefore will be granted essentially for the reasons set forth in the moving papers."