

FOX ROTHSCHILD LLP  
Eileen Oakes Muskett, Esquire  
Attorney ID 020731994  
Midtown Building, 4<sup>th</sup> floor  
1301 Atlantic Avenue  
Atlantic City, New Jersey 08401  
T: 609-348-4515 // F: 609-348-6834  
[emussett@foxrothschild.com](mailto:emussett@foxrothschild.com)  
Attorneys for Defendants, Merck & Co., Inc. and Merck Sharp & Dohme Corp.

FILED

August 4, 2023

HON. BRUCE J. KAPLAN, J.S.C.

LUCILLE PRICE, et al

vs

MERCK SHARP & DOHME CORP, et al.

SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY  
LAW DIVISION: MIDDLESEX COUNTY

FOSAMAX CASE CODE: 282  
Docket No. MID-L-6785-14

**ORDER WITHDRAWING  
THE PRO HAC VICE ADMISSION OF  
KENNETH BAUM, ESQUIRE**

**WHEREAS**, Defendants, Merck & Co., Inc. and Merck Sharp & Dohme Corp. (“Merck”), by and through its attorneys Fox Rothschild LLP, upon notice to all interest parties, have moved before this Court for an Order withdrawing the pro hac vice admission of Kenneth Baum, Esquire and the Court having considered the papers submitted in support thereof, and for good cause shown:

**IT IS** on this 4th day of August, 2023;

**ORDERED** that the motion is granted, and the pro hac vice admission of Kenneth Baum, Esquire, is hereby withdrawn with an effective date of as of the date of this Order, and it is further

**ORDERED** counsel for Merck shall forward a copy of this Order to the Treasurer of the New Jersey Fund for Client Protection, and it is further

**ORDERED** that a copy of this Order shall be posted on New Jersey Courts Online as electronic service upon all interested parties within seven days of the date of this Order.

**ORDERED** that the posting of this Order on eCourts shall constitute service upon all counsel of record. Pursuant to R. 1:5-1(a), the movant shall serve a copy of this Order upon all parties not served electronically within seven (7) days of receipt of this Order.

15/ Bruce J. Kaplan  
HONORABLE BRUCE J. KAPLAN, J.S.C.

**Unopposed**

Having reviewed the within motion, this Court finds it to be meritorious on its face and is unopposed. Pursuant to R. 1:6-2, it therefore will be granted essentially for the reasons set forth in the moving papers.