

Scott A. Harford  
LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP  
264 West 40th Street  
New York, New York 10018  
(212) 302-2400

RECEIVED and  
FILED  
MAR 15 2013  
ATLANTIC COUNTY  
LAW DIVISION

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

----- X  
:  
: SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY  
: LAW DIVISION: ATLANTIC COUNTY  
:  
IN RE FOSAMAX LITIGATION : Civil Action  
:  
: **ORDER GRANTING THE**  
: **MOTION FOR THE PRO HAC VICE**  
: **ADMISSION OF KIRK GOZA IN**  
: **CASES LISTED ON SCHEDULE "A"**  
:  
----- X

THIS MATTER HAVING COME before the Court on the application of  
Scott A. Harford, Esq., attorney for plaintiffs, for an Order admitting attorney Kirk Goza, Esq.,  
an attorney admitted to the practice of law in the States of Missouri and Kansas, *pro hac vice* in  
all matters listed on the attached Schedule A; and the Court having reviewed the papers filed  
herein, and the arguments of counsel, and for good cause shown,

IT IS on this 15 day of March, 2013,

ORDERED that Kirk Goza, Esq. is hereby admitted *pro hac vice*, pursuant to R. 1:21-2,  
for all purposes to represent the plaintiffs in all matters listed on the attached Schedule A in  
association with New Jersey counsel, Lynch Daskal Emery LLP; and

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that:

(1) Mr. Goza shall abide by the New Jersey Court Rules, including all disciplinary rules,  
R. 1:20-1 and R. 1-28:2;

(2) Mr. Goza shall, and hereby does, consent to the appointment of the Clerk of the Supreme Court as an agent upon whom service of process may be made for all actions against his firm that may arise out of his participation in this matter.

(3) Mr. Goza shall immediately notify the Court of any matter affecting his standing at the bar of any other Court.

(4) Mr. Goza shall have all pleadings, briefs and other papers filed with the Court signed by an attorney of record authorized to practice in New Jersey, who shall be responsible for them, the conduct of the litigation, and the admitted attorney.

(5) Mr. Goza shall not be designated as trial counsel.


(6) No discovery, motion, trial, or any other proceeding delay shall occur or be requested by reason of the inability of Mr. Goza to be in attendance.

(7) Mr. Goza must, within ten (10) days, pay the fees required by R. 1:20-1(b) and R. 1:28-2 and submit an affidavit of compliance.

(8) *Pro hac vice* admission will automatically terminate for failure to make the initial and any annual payment required by R. 1:20-1(b) and R. 1:28-2.

(9) Non-compliance with any of the terms of this order shall constitute grounds for removal.

(10) A copy of this order shall be served on all parties within seven (7) days of the date of this order.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Carol E. Higbee, P.J.Cv.

Opposed  
 Unopposed

## SCHEDULE A

Case Caption	Docket #
Akila Ahmadi v. Merck, Sharp & Dohme, Corp., <i>et al.</i>	ATL-L-7106-12
Barbara Anderson v. Merck & Co., Inc., and Merck, Sharp & Dohme, Corp.	ATL-L-7029-12
Jeanne Blehm v. Merck, Sharp & Dohme, Corp., <i>et al.</i>	ATL-L-6986-12
Jennie Castiglia v. Merck & Co., Inc., and Merck, Sharp & Dohme, Corp.	ATL-L-7096-12
Joan Dobson v. Merck, Sharp & Dohme, Corp., <i>et al.</i>	ATL-L-7009-12
Alice Gaitan v. Merck & Co., Inc., and Merck, Sharp & Dohme, Corp.	ATL-L-7000-12
Dorothy Haines v. Merck & Co., Inc., and Merck, Sharp & Dohme, Corp.	ATL-L-7004-12
Ruth Healey v. Merck & Co., Inc., and Merck, Sharp & Dohme, Corp.	ATL-L-7062-12
Judy Hughes v. Merck & Co., Inc., and Merck, Sharp & Dohme, Corp.	ATL-L-7040-12
Teresa Kieblesz v. Merck, Sharp & Dohme, Corp., <i>et al.</i>	ATL-L-6973-12
Agnes Magnone v. Merck & Co., Inc., and Merck, Sharp & Dohme, Corp.	ATL-L-7102-12
Cathy McClure v. Merck, Sharp & Dohme, Corp., <i>et al.</i>	ATL-L-6995-12
Joan Nadler v. Merck & Co., Inc., and Merck, Sharp & Dohme, Corp.	ATL-L-2195-12
Josephine Nolin v. Merck & Co., Inc., and Merck, Sharp & Dohme, Corp.	ATL-L-7099-12
Marian Petkovsek v. Merck & Co., Inc., and Merck, Sharp & Dohme, Corp.	ATL-L-6964-12
Kathleen Pinkerton v. Merck, Sharp & Dohme, Corp., <i>et al.</i>	ATL-L-7020-12
Linda Pollack v. Merck & Co., Inc., and Merck, Sharp & Dohme, Corp.	ATL-L-7115-12
Deoki Ramcharan v. Merck, Sharp & Dohme, Corp., <i>et al.</i>	ATL-L-7112-12
Dorothy Smith v. Merck & Co., Inc., and Merck, Sharp & Dohme, Corp.	ATL-L-7048-12
Mildred Stephenson v. Merck & Co., Inc., and Merck, Sharp & Dohme, Corp.	ATL-L-6959-12
Grover Ticer v. Merck & Co., Inc., and Merck, Sharp & Dohme, Corp.	ATL-L-6993-12
Vivian Ulrich v. Merck & Co., Inc., and Merck, Sharp & Dohme, Corp.	ATL-L-7101-12
Mary Willis v. Merck & Co., Inc., and Merck, Sharp & Dohme, Corp.	ATL-L-7097-12