Janet L. Poletto NJ Attorney ID 024871980 Hardin Kundla McKeon & Poletto 673 Morris Avenue Springfield, New Jersey 07081 (973) 912-5222 Telephone (973) 912-9212 Facsimile

FILED

October 2, 2024

HON. BRUCE J. KAPLAN, J.S.C.

Habib Nasrullah (admitted pro hac vice)
Stephanie A. Reedy (admitted pro hac vice)
Wheeler Trigg O'Donnell, LLP
370 Seventeenth Street, Suite 4500
Denver, Colorado 80202
303.244.1800 Telephone
303.244.1879 Facsimile
Attorneys for Defendant NorthStar Rx LLC

LEE GARLAND and JOHN GARLAND, her husband,

Plaintiff.

VS.

MERCK, SHARP & DOHME CORP.: TEVA PHARMACEUTICALS USA, INC.; BARR PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.: BARR LABORATORIES, INC.; WATSON PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.: WATSON LABORATORIES, INC.; MYLAN, INC. f/k/a MYLAN LABORATORIES, INC.; MYLAN PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.; APOTEX CORPORATION; SUN PHARMA GLOBAL, INC.; CARACO PHARMACEUTICAL LABORATORIES, LTD.: SUN PHARMACEUTICAL INDUSTRIES, INC.; UDL LABORATORIES, INC., NORTHSTAR RX, LLC; MCKESSON CORPORATION, and COBALT LABORATORIES, INC.,

Defendants.

SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY LAW DIVISION: MIDDLESEX COUNTY

FOSAMAX Case No. 282

Docket No. MID-L-8142-14

ORDER

THIS MATTER having been opened to the Court by the law firm of Hardin Kundla McKeon & Poletto is hereby relieved as counsel for the Defendant Northstar Rx LLC

("Northstar"), and the Court having read and considered the papers submitted in this matter, and for good cause shown;

IT IS on this 2nd day of October 2024,

ORDERED that the motion is granted, and the pro hac vice admission of Stephanie Reedy, Esq., is hereby withdrawn with an effective date of as of the date of this Order, and it is further

ORDERED that counsel for NorthStar shall forward a copy of this Order to the Treasurer of the New Jersey Fund for Client Protection, and it is further

ORDERED that service of this Order shall be deemed effectuated upon all parties upon its upload to eCourts. Pursuant to R. 1:5-1(a), movant shall serve a copy of this Order on all parties not served electronically within seven (7) days of the entry of the Order.

UNOPPOSED

Having reviewed the within motion, the court finds it to be meritorious on its face, in compliance with \underline{R} . 4:34-1, and unopposed. It therefore will be granted essentially for the reasons set forth in the moving papers in accordance with \underline{R} . 1:6-2.