Brendan A. McDonough (NJ Attorney ID# 213712016)

WEITZ & LUXENBERG

A New York Professional Corporation 220 Lake Drive East, Suite 210 Cherry Hill, NJ 08002 (856) 755-1115

Attorneys for Plaintiff

**FILED** 

April 14, 2023

HON. BRUCE J. KAPLAN, J.S.C.

ELEANORE FEUERWERKER,

**Plaintiff** 

SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY LAW DIVISION: MIDDLESEX COUNTY

VS

Docket No. MID-L-8082-14

MERCK SHARP & DOHME CORP.

Defendant.

ORDER WITHDRAWING THE PRO HAC VICE ADMISSION OF JONATHAN SEDGH, **ESQUIRE** 

WHEREAS, Plaintiffs, by and through their attorneys Weitz & Luxenberg P.C., upon notice to all interest parties, have moved before this Court for an Order withdrawing the pro hac vice admission of Jonathan Sedgh, Esquire and the Court having considered the papers submitted in support thereof, and for good cause shown:

**IT IS** on this 14th day of April, 2023;

**ORDERED** that the motion is granted, and the pro hac vice admission of Jonathan Sedgh, Esquire, is hereby withdrawn for all purposes and in all proceedings in connection with the abovecaptioned matter, with an effective date of as of the date of this Order, and it is further

**ORDERED** Counsel for Plaintiffs shall forward a copy of this Order to the Treasurer of the New Jersey Fund for Client Protection within ten (10) days of the date of this Order, and it is further

**ORDERED** that the posting of this Order on eCourts shall constitute service upon all counsel of record. Pursuant to  $\underline{R}$ . 1:5-1(a), the movant shall serve a copy of this Order upon all parties not served electronically within seven (7) days of receipt of this Order.

<u>ISI Bruce J. Kaplau</u> HONORABLE BRUCE J. KAPLAN, J.S.C.

## Unopposed

Having reviewed the within motion, this Court finds it to be meritorious on its face and is unopposed. Pursuant to  $\underline{R}$ . 1:6-2, it therefore will be granted essentially for the reasons set forth in the moving papers.

## Unopposed

Having reviewed the within motion, this Court finds it to be meritorious on its face and is unopposed. Pursuant to  $\underline{R}$ . 1:6-2, it therefore will be granted essentially for the reasons set forth in the moving papers.