| A Pennsylvania LLP |
|---------------------------------|
| Terry M. Henry (NJ ID 2571996) |
| Ann E. Querns (NJ ID 900902012) |
| 300 Carnegie Center, Suite 220 |
| Princeton, NJ 08540 |
| (215) 569-5644 (Phone) |
| (215) 832-5644 (Fax) |
| Terry.Henry@BlankRome.com |
| Ann.Querns@BlankRome.com |

FILED

February 3, 2023 HON. BRUCE J. KAPLAN, J.S.C.

Attorneys for Defendants Watson Pharmaceuticals, Inc.; Watson Laboratories, Inc.; and Cobalt Laboratories, Inc.

v.

BETTY WELLMAN,

| SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY |
|--------------------------------|
| LAW DIVISION: MIDDLESEX COUNTY |
| DOCKET NO. MID-L-008935-14 |
| MCL CASE NO. 282 |
| |

CIVIL ACTION

ORDER

THIS MATTER, having been brought before the Court upon motion by Blank Rome LLP, attorneys for Defendants, Watson Pharmaceuticals, Inc.; Watson Laboratories, Inc.; and Cobalt Laboratories, Inc. ("Watson & Cobalt"), for an Order to Dismiss the Plaintiff's complaint without prejudice pursuant to <u>R</u>. 4:23-5(a)(1), for failure to effectuate the probate process to appoint a formal estate representative and substitute the estate, and the Court having read and considered the papers submitted in this matter, and for good cause having been shown;

IT IS on this 3rd day of February, 2023;

ORDERED that Watson & Cobalt's Motion to Dismiss without prejudice is hereby **GRANTED**; and it is further

MERCK SHARP & DOHME CORP., et al.,

Defendants.

Plaintiff,

ORDERED that Plaintiff's, Betty Wellman's, Complaint is hereby DISMISSED

WITHOUT PREJUDICE as to Defendants Watson Pharmaceuticals, Inc.; Watson Laboratories,

Inc.; and Cobalt Laboratories, Inc.; and it is further

ORDERED that service of this Order shall be deemed effectuated upon all parties upon

its upload to eCourts. Pursuant to <u>Rule</u> 1:5-1(a), movant shall serve a copy of this Order on all

parties not served electronically within seven (7) days of the date of this order.

ISI Bruce J. Kaplan HONORABLE BRUCE J. KAPLAN, J.S.C.

UNOPPOSED

Having reviewed the above motion, the Court finds it to be meritorious on its face and is unopposed. Pursuant to <u>R</u>. 1:6-2, it therefore will be granted essentially for the reasons set forth in the moving papers.