#0559
10-28-16

Eileen Oakes Muskett, Esquire
Attorney ID 020731994
Fox Rothschild LLP
Midtown Building, Suite 400
1301 Atlantic Avenue
Atlantic City, New Jersey 08401
Phone: 609-348-4515
Attorney for Defendant Merck Sharp & Dohme Corp.

FILED
OCT 28 2016
JUDGE JESSICA R. MAYER

Helen Mendenhall Plaintiff vs. Merck Sharp & Dohme Corp. Defendant.	SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY LAW DIVISION: MIDDLESEX COUNTY FOSAMAX LITIGATION DOCKET NO. MID-L-9553-14 CIVIL ACTION CASE NO. 282 ***ORDER***

WHEREAS, Defendant Merck Sharp & Dohme Corp., by and through its attorneys Fox Rothschild LLP, upon notice to all interested parties, has moved before this Court for the dismissal of this matter against Defendant in this matter; and the Court having considered the papers submitted in support thereof; and for other good cause, **IT IS** on this 28th day of October, 2016, hereby **ORDERED** that the motion is granted, and all claims of Plaintiff in this case are hereby dismissed without prejudice.

Hon. Jessica R. Mayer, J.S.C.

A COPY OF THIS ORDER SHALL BE POSTED ONLINE BY THE COURT

OPPOSED - plaintiff's counsel having complied with the requirement of Rule 4:23-5 by notifying plaintiff of the pending motion and requesting that plaintiff contact counsel.

ORDERED that counsel for the delinquent party shall serve upon his or her client in accordance with R. 4:23-5(a)(1) a copy of this Order and the notice set forth in Appendix II-A of the Court Rules

Opp'd to 0559 mot 1137 10-26-16

Josephine Reina, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
A New York Professional Corporation
Attorney ID 079772015
200 Lake Drive East, Suite 205
Cherry Hill, New Jersey 08002
Phone: (856) 755-1115

Attorneys for Plaintiff Helen Mendenhall

FILED
OCT 28 2016
JUDGE JESSICA R. MAYER

HELEN MENDENHALL Plaintiff, vs. MERCK SHARP & DOHME CORP. Defendant.	SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY LAW DIVISION: MIDDLESEX COUNTY DOCKET NO.: MID-L-9553-14 CIVIL ACTION CASE NO. 282 **ORDER**

This matter, having been opened to the Court on application by Weitz & Luxenberg, counsel for Plaintiff Helen Mendenhall, an order in opposition to Defendant's Motion to Dismiss for Failure to Provide Discovery, having considered the submissions of the parties and for good cause shown.

IT IS on this 28th Day of October 2016, that ~~the~~ Defendant's motion is ~~DENIED.~~ GRANTED for the reasons noted in the court's order dated 10/28/16

OPPOSED

HON. JESSICA R. MAYER, J.S.C.