

#0234  
10-28-16


Eileen Oakes Muskett, Esquire  
Attorney ID 020731994  
Fox Rothschild LLP  
Midtown Building, Suite 400  
1301 Atlantic Avenue  
Atlantic City, New Jersey 08401  
Phone: 609-348-4515  
Attorney for Defendant Merck Sharp & Dohme Corp.

Louella Kinch  
  
Plaintiff  
  
vs.  
  
Merck Sharp & Dohme Corp.  
  
Defendant.

SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY  
LAW DIVISION: MIDDLESEX COUNTY  
  
FOSAMAX LITIGATION  
  
DOCKET NO. MID-L-7758-14  
  
CIVIL ACTION CASE NO. 282  
  
**ORDER**

**WHEREAS**, Defendant Merck Sharp & Dohme Corp., by and through its attorneys Fox Rothschild LLP, upon notice to all interested parties, has moved before this Court for the dismissal of this matter against Defendant in this matter; and the Court having considered the papers submitted in support thereof; and for other good cause, **IT IS** on this 28<sup>th</sup> day of October, 2016, hereby **ORDERED** that the motion is granted, and all claims of Plaintiff in this case are hereby dismissed without prejudice.

**OPPOSED** - plaintiff's

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Jessica R. Mayer, J.S.C.

A COPY OF THIS ORDER SHALL BE POSTED ONLINE BY THE COURT

*counsel having complied with the requirements of Rule 4:23-5 by notifying plaintiff of the pending motion and requesting that plaintiff contact counsel.*

... shall serve upon his or her client in accordance with R. 4:23-5(a)(1) a copy of this Order and the notice set forth in **A**

# 1042  
app 400234  
10/28/16

Josephine Reina, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG  
A New York Professional Corporation  
Attorney ID 079772015  
200 Lake Drive East, Suite 205  
Cherry Hill, New Jersey 08002  
Phone: (856) 755-1115

Attorneys for Plaintiff Louella Kinch

-----	)	SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY
LOUELLA KINCH	)	LAW DIVISION: MIDDLESEX COUNTY
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	DOCKET NO.: MID-L-7758-14
vs.	)	
	)	CIVIL ACTION CASE NO. 282
MERCK SHARP & DOHME CORP.	)	
	)	<b>ORDER</b>
Defendant.	)	
-----	)	

This matter, having been opened to the Court on application by Weitz & Luxenberg, counsel for Plaintiff Louella Kinch, an order in opposition to Defendant's Motion to Dismiss for Failure to Provide Discovery, having considered the submissions of the parties and for good cause shown.

IT IS on this 26<sup>th</sup> Day of October 2016, that ~~the~~ <sup>Defendant's</sup> motion is ~~DENIED~~ <sup>GRANTED</sup> for the reasons noted on the court's order dated 10/28/16

**OPPOSED**

  
HON. JESSICA R. MAYER, J.S.C.