#02241

Eileen Oakes Muskett, Esquire Attorney ID 020731994 Fox Rothschild LLP Midtown Building, Suite 400 1301 Atlantic Avenue Atlantic City, New Jersey 08401 Phone: 609-348-4515 Attorney for Defendant Merck Sharp & Dohme Corp.

Debbie Horner

Plaintiff

vs.

Merck Sharp & Dohme Corp.

Defendant.

SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY LAW DIVISION: MIDDLESEX COUNTY FOSAMAX LITIGATION DOCKET NO. MID-L-9224-14

CIVIL ACTION CASE NO. 282

ORDER

WHEREAS, Defendant Merck Sharp & Dohme Corp., by and through its attorneys Fox Rothschild LLP, upon notice to all interested parties, has moved before this Court for the dismissal of this matter against Defendant in this matter; and the Court having considered the papers submitted in support thereof; and for other good cause, IT IS on this  $2 e^{im}$  day of 0 i t b b, 2016, hereby ORDERED that the motion is granted, and all claims of Plaintiff in this case are hereby dismissed without prejudice.

Hon. Jessica R. Mayer, J.S.C.

A COPY OF THIS ORDER SHALL BE POSTED ONLINE BY THE COURT

OPPOSED - plus the requirements having complied with the requirements of <u>full</u> 4:23-5 by notifying plaintill of the renamy motion and requiring that plaintil instact coursel.

ORDERED that counsel for the delonguese party shall serve upon his or her otient of accordance with R. 4:23-5(a)(1) a copy of this Order and the notice set for the control of XI-A of the Order R

# 103 9 22t

Josephine Reina, Esq. WEITZ & LUXENBERG A New York Professional Corporation Attorney ID 079772015 200 Lake Drive East, Suite 205 Cherry Hill, New Jersey 08002 Phone: (856) 755-1115

Attorneys for Plaintiff Debbie Horner

DEBBIE HORNER	<ul><li>) SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY</li><li>) LAW DIVISION: MIDDLESEX COUNTY</li></ul>
Plaintiff,	) ) DOCKET NO.: MID-L-9224-14
vs.	) ) CIVIL ACTION CASE NO. 282
MERCK SHARP & DOHME CORP.	) ) ORDER
Defendant.	)

This matter, having been opened to the Court on application by Weitz & Luxenberg, counsel for Plaintiff Debbie Horner, an order in opposition to Defendant's Motion to Dismiss for Failure to Provide Discovery, having considered the submissions of the parties and for good cause shown.

)

shown. IT IS on this 28 Day of October 2016, that the motion is DENIED. Wird in the court's inter dotated with the court's

OPPOSED

HON. MESSICA R. MAYER, J.S.C.