

#0207
10-28-16

Eileen Oakes Muskett, Esquire
Attorney ID 020731994
Fox Rothschild LLP
Midtown Building, Suite 400
1301 Atlantic Avenue
Atlantic City, New Jersey 08401
Phone: 609-348-4515
Attorney for Defendant Merck Sharp & Dohme Corp.

Florence Hollin and Alvin J. Hollin

Plaintiffs

vs.

Merck Sharp & Dohme Corp.

Defendant.

SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY
LAW DIVISION: MIDDLESEX COUNTY


FOSAMAX LITIGATION

DOCKET NO. MID-L-9589-14

CIVIL ACTION CASE NO. 282

ORDER

WHEREAS, Defendant Merck Sharp & Dohme Corp., by and through its attorneys Fox Rothschild LLP, upon notice to all interested parties, has moved before this Court for the dismissal of this matter against Defendant in this matter; and the Court having considered the papers submitted in support thereof; and for other good cause, **IT IS** on this 28th day of October, 2016, hereby **ORDERED** that the motion is granted, and all claims of Plaintiffs in this case are hereby dismissed without prejudice.



Hon. Jessica R. Mayer, J.S.C.

A COPY OF THIS ORDER SHALL BE POSTED ONLINE BY THE COURT

OPPOSED - plaintiffs' counsel having complied with the requirements of Rule 4:23-5, by notifying plaintiffs of the pending motion and requesting that plaintiffs contact counsel.

ORDERED that counsel for the advising party shall serve upon his or her client in accordance with R. 4:23-5(a)(1) a copy of this Order and the notice set forth in **A**

#1038
CRP 40307
10-25-16

Josephine Reina, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
A New York Professional Corporation
Attorney ID 079772015
200 Lake Drive East, Suite 205
Cherry Hill, New Jersey 08002
Phone: (856) 755-1115

Attorneys for Plaintiff Florence Hollin

FLORENCE HOLLIN)	SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY
Plaintiff,)	LAW DIVISION: MIDDLESEX COUNTY
vs.)	DOCKET NO.: MID-L-9589-14
MERCK SHARP & DOHME CORP.)	CIVIL ACTION CASE NO. 282
Defendant.)	ORDER
)	

This matter, having been opened to the Court on application by Weitz & Luxenberg, counsel for Plaintiff Florence Hollin, an order in opposition to Defendant's Motion to Dismiss for Failure to Provide Discovery, having considered the submissions of the parties and for good cause shown.

IT IS on this 26th Day of October 2016, that ^{Defendant's} ~~the~~ motion is ^(GRANTED) DENIED. *for the reasons noted on the court's order dated 10/28/16*

OPPOSED



HON. JESSICA R. MAYER, J.S.C.