

WILLIAMS CUKER BEREZOFSKY, LLC
Esther Berezofsky, Esq.
Woodland Falls Corporate Center
210 Lake Drive East, Suite 101
Cherry Hill, NJ 08002
(856) 667-0500
(856) 667-5133 Fax

**RECEIVED and
FILED**
MAR 21 2011
**ATLANTIC COUNTY
LAW DIVISION**

Attorneys for Plaintiff

RE: ACCUTANE® LITIGATION

**SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY
LAW DIVISION: ATLANTIC COUNTY**

CASE NO. 271

CIVIL ACTION

ACCUTANE® LITIGATION

**ORDER
ADMITTING MATTHEW MUMFREY
TO PRACTICE *PRO HAC VICE***

AND NOW, Esther Berezofsky, Esq., counsel for Plaintiffs identified on the attached Schedule A, upon notice to all interested parties, has moved before this Court for admission *pro hac vice* of Matthew Mumfrey, Esq.; and the Court having considered the papers in support thereof; and the Court having found that Matthew Mumfrey, Esq. is a member in good standing for the bar of the highest Court in the State of Louisiana where he is licensed to practice law and further good cause shown.

IT IS ON THIS 21 day of March, 2011

ORDERED that the Motion is granted and Matthew Mumfrey, Esq. is admitted to practice *pro hac vice* before this Court pursuant to Rule 1:21-2, for all purposes and in all proceedings in connection with this action, in the same manner as an attorney who is admitted to


practice in this State and is domiciled and maintains an office for the practice of law in the State of New Jersey, provided that he shall:

1. Abide by the Rules of Court for the State of New Jersey, including all disciplinary rules;
2. Consent to the appointment of the Clerk of the Supreme Court as an agent upon whom service of process may be made for all actions against him and his firm that may arise out of his participation in this matter;
3. Notify the Court immediately of any matter affecting his standing before this Court; and
4. Have all pleadings and other papers filed in the Court signed by an attorney-at-law of this Court employed by the firm of Williams Cuker Berezofsky, LLC, who shall be responsible for the conduct of Matthew Mumfrey, Esq.; and it is further

ORDERED that Matthew Mumfrey, Esq. shall make payment of fees as provided in the New Jersey Rules of Court, Rule 1:28-1(b), 1:28-2 and 1:28B-1(e), within thirty (30) days of the date of this Order, and it is further

ORDERED that the Clerk of this Court shall forward a copy of this Order to the Treasurer of the New Jersey Fund for Client Protection; and it is further

ORDERED that copies of this Order shall be served by attorneys for Plaintiff upon all counsel of record within seven (7) days of receipt thereof.



Honorable Carol E. Higbee, P.J., Cv.

[] Opposed

[] Unopposed

SCHEDULE A

	MATTER NAME	DOCKET NO.
1.	Anthony Anderson et. al. v. Hoffman La-Roche Inc., et. al.	ATL-L-7819-10 MT
2.	Jose Cruz v. Hoffman La-Roche Inc., et. al.	ATL-L-7808-10 MT
3.	Stephen Dietz, et. al. v. Hoffman La-Roche Inc., et. al.	ATL-L-7114-10 MT
4.	Christopher Dralle et. al. v. Hoffman La-Roche Inc., et. al.	ATL-L-5470-10 MT
5.	Debra Fields v. Hoffman La-Roche Inc., et. al.	ATL-L-7817-10 MT
6.	Jordan Fink v. Hoffman La-Roche Inc., et. al.	ATL-L-7812-10 MT
7.	Scott Marks v. Hoffman La-Roche Inc., et. al.	ATL-L-7811-10 MT
8.	Alfredo Nevarez v. Hoffman La-Roche Inc., et. al.	ATL-L-7805-10 MT
9.	Michael Porter et. al. v. Hoffman La-Roche Inc., et. al.	ATL-L-7802-10 MT
10.	Nathan Post et. al. v. Hoffman La-Roche Inc., et. al.	ATL-L-7804-10 MT
11.	David Pridgen et. al. v. Hoffman La-Roche Inc., et. al.	ATL-L-7813-10 MT
12.	Michael Watson et. al. v. Hoffman La-Roche Inc., et. al.	ATL-L-7814-10 MT
13.	Julie Williams et. al. v. Hoffman La-Roche Inc., et. al.	ATL-L-5472-10 MT
14.	Jeannie Wilson et. al v. Hoffman La-Roche Inc., et. al.	ATL-L-5472-10 MT
15.	Robert Yur v. Hoffman La-Roche Inc., et. al.	ATL-L-5471-10 MT
16.	Barry Christoph v. Hoffman La-Roche Inc., et. al.	ATL-L-671-11 MT
17.	Glenn Coullard et. al. v. Hoffman La-Roche Inc., et. al.	ATL-L-670-11 MT
18.	Jessica Goodin v. Hoffman La-Roche Inc., et. al.	ATL-L-667-11 MT
19.	Kristen Mansell et. al. v. Hoffman La-Roche Inc., et. al.	ATL-L-668-11 MT
20.	Jeffery Patruska v. Hoffman La-Roche Inc., et. al.	ATL-L-669-11 MT