<u>DISTRIBUTION OF A CONTROLLED DANGEROUS SUBSTANCE</u>¹ (<u>N.J.S.A</u>. 2C:35-5)

Count of the indictment charges the defendant as follows:
(Read Indictment)
The pertinent part of the statute (N.J.S.A. 2C:35-5) on which this indictment is based
reads as follows:
Except as authorized by [statute], it shall be unlawful for any
person knowingly or purposely to distribute a controlled
dangerous substance [or controlled substance analog]. ²
The various kinds of substances are defined in another part of our statute. (Inser
appropriate CDS, eg. heroin, cocaine, etc.) is a dangerous substance prohibited by the statute
(The defendant does not claim legal authorization, so the exceptions in the statute are no
applicable in this case.)
The statute, read together with the indictment, identifies the element which the State mus
prove beyond a reasonable doubt to establish guilt of the defendant on this (count of the
indictment. They are as follows:
1. S in evidence is (insert appropriate CDS or controlled substance analog).
2. That the defendant distributed S date alleged in the indictment.
3. That the defendant acted knowingly or purposefully in distributing S
[When it is alleged that a controlled substance analog has
been distributed the following definition of controlled
substance analog should be charged]
(In regard to the first element, a "controlled substance analog" is a substance which (1
has a chemical structure substantially similar to that of a controlled dangerous substance and (2
was specifically designed to produce an effect substantially similar to that of a controlled
substance. ³ In this case the indictment alleges that the defendant distributed which is a
N.J.S.A. 2C:35-5 grades this offense for sentencing purposes by the type, quantity and purity of the CD involved. In certain cases, the defendant is guilty of an offense regardless of the quantity and purity of the CD distributed. This charge is sufficient for such cases. However, in cases in which the quantity and/or purity of th CDS is an element of the offense, N.J.S.A. 2C:35-5c requires that this element be determined by the jury. In such case, this charge would have to be supplemented to add this element. Please see the supplementary model charg concerning this.
To be charged when the indictment alleges distribution of a controlled substance analog.
³ N.J.S.A. 2C:35-2.

DISTRIBUTION OF A CONTROLLED DANGEROUS SUBSTANCE

promise of payment of money or anything of value.⁵

(N.J.S.A. 2C:35-5)

analog of the controlled dangerous substance The	nus, to establish this e	element the State
must prove beyond a reasonable doubt that	has a substantially	similar chemical
structure to the controlled dangerous substance	and that	was specifically
designed to produce an effect substantially similar to the co	ntrolled dangerous su	ıbstance)
In regard to the second element, to "distribute" mea	ns the transfer, actua	l, constructive or
attempted,4 from one person to another of a controlled	dangerous substance	e (or controlled
substance analog). It is not necessary that the drugs be tra	ansferred in exchange	e for payment or

In regard to the third element, the State must prove, as I have stated, that the defendant acted knowingly or purposefully in distributing S_____.

A person acts knowingly with respect to the nature of his/her conduct or the attendant circumstances if he/she is aware that his/her conduct is of that nature, or that such circumstances exist, or he/she is aware of a high probability of their existence. A person acts knowingly with respect to a result of his/her conduct if he/she is aware that it is practically certain that his/her conduct will cause such a result. "Knowing," "with knowledge" or equivalent terms have the same meaning.⁶

A person acts purposely with respect to the nature of his/her conduct or a result thereof if it is his/her conscious object to engage in conduct of that nature or to cause such a result. A person acts purposely with respect to attendant circumstances if he/she is aware of the existence of such circumstances or he/she believes or hopes that they exist. "With purpose," designed," "with design" or equivalent terms have the same meaning.⁷

Remember that when we speak of knowingly and purposely we are speaking of conditions of the mind that cannot be seen. It is not necessary for the State to prove the existence of such mental states by direct evidence such as a statement by the defendant that he/she had particular knowledge or a particular purpose. Knowledge and purpose as separate propositions

⁴ This definition is taken from the definitions of "distribute" and "deliver" set forth in <u>N.J.S.A.</u> 2C:35-2.

State v. Heitzman, 209 N.J. Super. 617, 621 (App. Div. 1986), aff'd 107 N.J. 603 (1987).

⁶ N.J.S.A. 2C:2-2b(1).

⁷ N.J.S.A. 2C:2-2b(2).

<u>DISTRIBUTION OF A CONTROLLED</u> <u>DANGEROUS SUBSTANCE</u> (<u>N.J.S.A.</u> 2C:35-5)

of proof do not commonly exist. They must ordinarily be discovered as other mental states are from circumstantial evidence; that is, by reference to the defendant's conduct, words or acts and all the surrounding circumstances.

To reiterate, the three elements of this offense are that:				
1.	S in evidence is (insert appropriate CDS) (or a controlled substance			
	analog).			
2.	That the defendant distributed S alleged in the indictment.			
3.	That the defendant acted knowingly or purposefully in distributing S			
T£	End that the Ctate has more all these alaments have do responsible doubt the			

If you find that the State has proven all these elements beyond a reasonable doubt, then you must return a verdict of guilty. On the other hand, if you find that the State has failed to prove any one of these elements beyond a reasonable doubt, then you must return a verdict of not guilty.